

Neural Networks

CSCI 601-471/671 (NLP: Self-Supervised Models)

https://self-supervised.cs.jhu.edu/sp2024/

How was HW1

- Select that best applies:
 - 1. It was smooth sailing through things I knew; my hamster nearly finished it.
 - 2. it was familiar stuff but I had to learn or refresh a few things.
 - 3. It was like shoveling snow in the middle of a blizzard, it just kept getting worse
 - 4. It was so challenging, it felt like climbing Mount Everest with slippers on.



HW2 is released

- Did you see it?
- Due Tuesday noon.
 - Feels like a long time away? it's due in 120 hours!



"Can I use external libraries?" No, unless specified!

- Use the basic Python functions (no external libraries), unless explicitly specified.
- In almost all places, you're not expected to write more than 3-4 lines of code.

```
[ ] # a function that resturns the top `k` most similar words to `input_word`
def my_most_similar(input_word, k):
    words = embeddings.vocab.keys() # list of words covered by this word embedding
    input_word_emd = embeddings[input_word]
    ### START CODE HERE ###
    ### END CODE HERE ###
    return top_k_most_similar_words
    my most similar('cat', 10)
```



"I can't install"

- Current code is based on 3.6.0.
- If you use other version, you might need to make minor changes to Gensim functions. Feel free to consult with Gensim documentation.
 - This is part of any programming experience.
 It's part of the job! Don't hate it, embrace it!



Recap: Language Modeling

- Language Modeling: estimating distributions over language.
- **One approach** we previously saw: counting word co-occurrences.
 - Pro: easy just count!
 - **Con:** difficult to scale to longer context due to the sparsity challenge.
- Another approach:
 - Using a learnable function that can estimate word transition probabilities.
 - **Now:** What are these learnable functions and how can we train them.



Neural Networks: Chapter Plan

- 1. Defining neural networks (feedforward nets)
- 2. Neural nets: brief history
- 3. Algebra background for training neural nets
- 4. Training neural networks: analytical backpropagation
- 5. Backprop in practice

Chapter goal: Get comfortable with thinking, designing and building neural networks — very powerful modeling tools.



Feedforward Neural Nets



Neural Networks

- What are neural networks?
 - Functions that take an input and produce an output.



What is inside this box?



How Neural Networks work? Neurons:



- This is a particular class called "feedforward" networks.
 - Cascade neurons together





• Inputs multiplied by initial set of weights





• Intermediate "predictions" computed at first hidden layer





- Intermediate predictions multiplied by second layer of weights
- Predictions are fed forward through the network





• Compute second set of intermediate predictions





• Multiply by final set of weights





- Aggregate all the computations in the output
 - e.g. probability of a particular class





• All the intermediate parameters are ought to be learned.





Feedforward Neural Network

- Neural Networks are functions!
 - Function class for approximating real-valued, discrete-valued and vector valued target functions.
 - NN: $X \to Y$ where $X = [0,1]^n$, or \mathbb{R}^n and $Y = [0,1]^d$, $\{0,1\}^d$
 - Example: A **2-layer** neural network
 - The input, hidden and output variables are represented by nodes
 - The links are the weight parameters
 - Arrows denote direction of information flow through the network





Neural Network: Making it bigger

Add more layers, or wider layers!

A **2-layer** neural network



A **3-layer** neural network





Feedforward Neural Network: The Neurons

- A mathematical model of neuron is "perceptron".
- It consists of a non-linear function that "fires" if the affine (linear) function of inputs is above a threshold.



$$y = \sigma \left(b + \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i x_i \right)$$
$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \text{ (sigmoid function)}$$



The bias is the negative of the threshold T in the previous slide

Feedforward Neural Network: The Neurons

- Sigmoid is a "squashing" function.
 It maps small inputs to zero.
 - It maps large inputs to one.

$$y = \sigma \left(b + \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i x_i \right)$$
$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \text{ (sigmoid function)}$$



The bias is the negative of the threshold T in the previous slide

Other Activation Functions

Does not always have to be a squashing function



Leaky ReLU $\max(0.1x, x)$

10

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Maxout} \\ \max(w_1^T x + b_1, w_2^T x + b_2) \end{array}$



We will talk about their pro/cons later!



Terminology: Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)

- Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP):
 A feedforward network with perceptrons as its nodes.
- A feedforward network does **not** have to be an MLP.
 - But people sometimes use the names interchangeably!
- The original MLP [McCulloch–Pitts] was based on "threshold" activation.



24

Formally Defining an MLP



- Example: A **2-layer** MLP network
 - The input, hidden and output variables are represented by nodes
 - The links are the weight parameters
 - Arrows denote direction of information flow through the network

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = W_2 \ g(W_1 \mathbf{x}) \ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
$$g(\mathbf{z}) = [\sigma(z_1), \dots, \sigma(z_h)] \text{ (nonlinearity)} \qquad \sigma(z_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-x}} \text{ (sigmoid function)}$$

• $W_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times n}$ and $W_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$ are the parameters that need to be learned.



Quiz Time (1)

- What is needed to fully specify a neural network?
 - 1. Architecture (which input goes through what function etc.)
 - 2. Parameters of the function (the weights)
 - 3. Both





Which of the followings has more parameters?









 Given an input to these models, which of them take longer to compute an output?







Why Add Non-linearity?



• Without non-linearity, the overall model amounts to a linear model.

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = W_2 g(W_1 \mathbf{x})$$

$$\bullet \tilde{f}(\mathbf{x}) = W_2 W_1 \mathbf{x} = W_3 \mathbf{x} \text{ (a linear function)}$$
drop g

- A linear function cannot approximate complex tasks.
- Non-linearity adds capacity to the model to approximate any continuous function to arbitrary accuracy given sufficiently many hidden units.
 - See <u>"universal approximation theorem"</u>



Cannot separate red and blue points with linear classifier



Universal Approximation

• An MLP can represent any function, with enough expressivity.





- What makes neural networks expressive functions?
 - 1. Activations (non-linearities)
 - 2. Depth (number of hidden layers)
 - 3. Width (number of variables in each hidden layer)
 - 4. All the above



Demo time!

32

Link: <u>https://playground.tensorflow.org/</u>



What is a good architecture? Depth vs. Width

- Architectural parameters of a neural network affect its capacity to learn.
 - Deep vs. wide







Depth vs Width on Boolean functions

- An MLP is a universal **Boolean** function.
- A shallow (single hidden layer) is a universal Boolean machine
 But it may require an exponentially large number of units.
- Deeper networks may require far fewer neurons than shallower networks to express the same function







Depth vs Width on Boolean functions

Theorem: There are certain class of functions with *n* inputs that can be represented with **deep** neural network with O(n) units, whereas it would require O(2^{√n}) units for a **shallow** network.







Hastad, Almost optimal lower bounds for small depth circuits, 1986. Delalleau & Bengio. Shallow vs. deep sum-product networks, 2011.



- An MLP is a universal function
- But can represent a given function only if
 - It is sufficiently wide
 - It is sufficiently deep
 - Depth can be traded off for (sometimes) exponential growth of the width of the network
- Optimal width and depth depend on the complexity of the problem.
- **Next:** A bit of history.


Neural Nets: Origin and History



Artificial Neurons: An Inspiration from Nature

- A single node in your neural network
 - Accept information from multiple inputs
 - Transmit information to other neurons
- A neuron's function is inspired by its biological counterpart:
 - Apply some function on inputs signals
 - If output of function over threshold, neuron "fires"





Artificial Neurons: Not Quite Analogous to Nature

Biological neurons: complex connectivity



Source: Google Brain Map

Neurons in an artificial neural network: organized based on a highly regular structure for computational efficiency





- 1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)
- 2. Symbolic AI & knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
- 3. Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
- 4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
- 5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)



1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)

- 2. Symbolic AI & knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
- 3. Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
- 4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
- 5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)



A Neuron as a Mathematical Model of Computation

 McCulloch and Pitts (1943) showed how linear threshold units can be used to compute logical functions



Perceptron Learning Rule – Imitating Nature's Learning Process

- Rosenblatt (1959) developed the Perceptron algorithm
 - An iterative algorithm for learning the weights of a linear threshold unit.





- A single neuron with a fixed input, it can incrementally change weights and learn to produce a fixed output using the Perceptron learning rule.
- Update each weights by: $w_i = w_i + \eta (t o) x_i$

whiting school the perceptron: a probabilistic model for information storage and organization in the brain, Rosenblatt 1959]

Quiz (1): Understanding Perceptron Update Rule

- Suppose the inputs $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\eta = 1$. If LTU's output *o* exactly matches the target value *t*, How would the update rule change the weights?
 - 1. Would increase them
 - 2. Would decrease them
 - 3. Would not change them





Quiz (2): Understanding Perceptron Update Rule

- Suppose the inputs $x_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and $\eta = 1$. If LTU's output *o* is smaller than the target value *t*, how would the update rule change the weights?
 - 1. Would increase them
 - 2. Would increase the weights for active inputs
 - 3. Would decrease them
 - 4. Would not change them
- After this update, the new output *o* would be:
 - 1. Larger
 - 2. Smaller
 - 3. Unchanged

Input Weight

$$x_1 \bigcirc w_1$$
 Activation Output
 $x_2 \bigcirc w_2$ $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j w_j$ Sum 0
 $x_3 \bigcirc w_3$ $j=1$ $x_j w_j$ y_j y_j

 $W_i = W_i + \eta (t - o) x_i$

Perceptron: Demise

- "Perceptrons" (1969) by Minsky and Papert illuminated few limitations of the perceptron.
- It showed that:
 - Shallow (2-layer) networks are unable to learn or represent many classification functions (e.g. XOR)
 - Only the linearly separable functions are learnable.
- Also, there was an understanding that deeper networks were infeasible to train.









- 1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)
- 2. Symbolic AI & knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
- 3. Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
- 4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
- 5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)



- 1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)
- 2. Symbolic AI & knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
- **3.** Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
- 4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
- 5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)



Neural Networks Resurgence (1986)

- Interest in NNs revived in the mid 1980's due to the rise of "connectionism."
- Backpropagation algorithm was [re-]introduced for training three-layer NN's.
 - Generalized the iterative "hill climbing" method to approximate networks with multiple layers, but no convergence guarantees.



for a broader context, see: http://people.idsia.ch/~juergen/who-invented-backpropagation.html]

Second NN Demise (1995-2010)

- Generic backpropagation did not generalize that well to training deeper networks.
 Overfitting / underfitting remained an issue.
 - Computers were still quite slow
- Little theoretical justification for underlying methods.
- Machine learning research moved to graphical/probabilistic models and kernel methods.



- 1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)
- 2. Symbolic AI & knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
- 3. Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
- 4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
- 5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)



- 1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)
- 2. Symbolic AI & knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
- 3. Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
- 4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
- 5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)



Deep Learning Revolution (2010...)

- Various successes with training deep neural works.
 - Convolutional neural nets (CNNs) for vision 2012 AlexNet showed 16% error reduction on ImageNet benchmark.
 - Rise of deep reinforcement learning for games—AlphaGo beat human players.





Deep Learning Revolution (2010...)

- Various successes with training deep neural works.
 - Convolutional neural nets (CNNs) for vision 2012 AlexNet showed 16% error reduction on ImageNet benchmark.
 - Rise of deep reinforcement learning for games—AlphaGo beat human players.



Deep Learning Revolution (2010...)

The success continued enabled by 3 forces:

- Availability of massive [unlabeled] data the data on Internet.
- Faster computing technologies specialized hardware (e.g., GPUs) 0
- Algorithmic innovations architectures, optimization, etc.



HNS HOPKINS





N×

- 1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)
- 2. Symbolic AI & knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
- 3. Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
- 4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
- 5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)





How it started

How it's going









- Neural networks have been long in the making since 1950s.
- It's a remarkable journey of science with many ups and downs.
- **Next:** How do you train NNs? We will start with some algebra refreshers.



Background for Training NNs The Refreshers 9



Machine Learning Problems

- Training data: Given a set of inputs and output labels:
 - Inputs: $X = (x_1, ..., x_n)$
 - Outputs: $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$
- **Goal:** Find a function $f(x; \theta)$ with parameters θ that maps inputs in X to output to Y
- **Empirical risk:** measure the quality of the predictions with a loss function:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(f(x_i; \theta), y_i)$$



A Special Case: Linear Regression

- Training data: Given a set of inputs and output labels:
 - Inputs: $X = (x_1, ..., x_n)$
 - Outputs: $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$
- **Goal:** Find a linear function $f(x; \theta) = \theta \cdot x$ that is best predictive of observations
- Empirical risk: measure the quality of the predictions with a loss function:



Quiz: Loss functions

Remember the objective function of our learning problem:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(f(x_i; \theta), y_i)$$

- Which of the followings is a more reasonable loss function $\ell(z, w)$?
 - 1. If *z* and *w* are far apart, the loss value should be higher
 - 2. If z and w are far apart, the loss value should be lower
 - 3. Neither





Quiz: MSE vs. MAE loss

Remember MSE and MAE loss:

- MSE: $\ell(y, \hat{y}) = (y \hat{y})^2$ MAE: $\ell(y, \hat{y}) = |y - \hat{y}|$
- 1. Which visualization corresponds to which loss?



- 2. Which loss is more sensitive to outlier data (noisy outputs)?
- 3. Which loss is more difficult to compute gradients for?





Loss Functions: Cross-Entropy

- A binary classification example: Without loss of generality:
 - Gold labels: y = [1, 0] (i.e., first class is correct)
 - Predictions: $\hat{y} = [p, 1 p]$

• CE loss:
$$\ell(y, \hat{y}) = -1 \times \log p - 0 \times \log(1-p) = -\log p$$

- Question for you:
 - If the model prediction is completely accurate, what is the loss?
 - If the model prediction is completely off, what is the loss?





Machine Learning Problems

- Training data: Given a set of inputs and output labels:
 - Inputs: $X = (x_1, ..., x_n)$
 - Outputs: $Y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$
- **Goal:** Find a function $f(x; \theta)$ with parameters θ that maps inputs in X to output to Y
- **Empirical risk:** measure the quality of the predictions with a loss function:

$$J(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell(f(x_i; \theta), y_i)$$

Machine learning as optimization:

$$\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\theta)$$

How do you solve this optimization?



Gradient Descent

We have a cost function *J*(θ) we want to minimize
 ω We can use Gradient Descent algorithm!

• Idea: for current value of θ , calculate gradient of $J(\theta)$, then take small step in direction of negative gradient. Repeat. c_{ost}

 Note: Our objectives may not be convex like this. But life turns out to be okay!





Gradient Descent (1): Intuition

- Imagine you're blindfolded
- Need to walk down a hill
- You can use your hands to find the directions that may be downhill





Gradient Descent (2): Intuition

- Why step in direction of negative gradient? • Gradient quantifies how rapidly the function $L(\theta)$ varies when we change the argument θ_i by a tiny amount.







Gradient Descent (3)

Update equation (in matrix notation):

$$\theta^{new} = \theta^{old} - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$$

 α = step size or learning rate

Update equation (for single parameter):

$$\theta_j^{new} = \theta_j^{old} - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j^{old}} J(\theta)$$

- Iteratively subtract the gradient with respect to the model parameters (θ)
- i.e., we're moving in a direction opposite to the gradient of the loss $L(\theta)$
- I.e., we're moving towards smaller loss $L(\theta)$
- Algorithm:

```
while True:
    theta_grad = evaluate_gradient(J,corpus,theta)
    theta = theta - alpha * theta_grad
```


Gradient Descent (4)

Update equation (in matrix notation):

$$\theta^{new} = \theta^{old} - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$$







Gradient Descent: Setting the Step Size

• What is a good value for step size α?

$$\theta^{new} = \theta^{old} - \alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$$



- It may take trial-and-errors to find the sweet spot.
- Another trick is to define a "schedule" for gradually reducing the learning rate starting from a large number.

A Typical Machine Learning and Evaluation Protocol



Summary Thus Far

- A statistical learning problem can be formulated as an optimization problem.
- The objective of this optimization consists of:
 - Learning data (input/outputs)
 - Predictive model architecture (encoding how an input gets mapped to an output)
 - Loss function (quantifying quality of predictions)
- Soon, we will use see how to use Neural Nets as the predictive model.



Algebra Refresher



Derivatives

- First let's get the notation right:
- The arrow shows functional dependence of z on y, i.e. given y, we can calculate z.
 o For example: z(y) = 2y²
- The derivative of z, with respect to y:
- $rac{\partial z}{\partial y}$



Ζ

 $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$

Quiz time!

• If $z(x, y) = y^4 x^5$ what is the following derivative $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$? 1. $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 4y^3 x^5$ 2. $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 5y^4 x^4$ 3. $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} = 20y^3 x^4$ 4. None of the above



Gradient



- Given a function with 1 output and *n* inputs $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}$
- Its gradient is a vector of partial derivatives with respect to each input

$$\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$



(always assume vectors are column vectors, i.e., they're in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$)

Quiz time!

• If $z(x, y) = y^4 x^5$ what is the following gradient ∇z ? 1. $\nabla z(x, y) = 4y^3 x^5$ 2. $\nabla z(x, y) = (5y^4 x^4, 20y^3 x^4)$ 3. $\nabla z(x, y) = (5y^4 x^4, 4y^3 x^5)$ 4. None of the above



X

Jacobian Matrix: Generalization of the Gradient

• Given a function with *m* outputs and *n* inputs $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = [f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)] \in \mathbb{R}^m$

• It's Jacobian is an $m \ge n$ matrix of partial derivatives: $(J_f(x))_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_i}$

$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$$





Quiz: Jacobian's special case (1)

Remember Jacobians:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$
$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ or } (\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}))_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$$

When m=1 (scalar-valued function), Jacobian reduces to ...?

 $\nabla^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ (gradient transpose)



Quiz: Jacobian's special case (2)

Remember Jacobians:

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \dots, f_m(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^m$$
$$\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \text{ or } (\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x}))_{ij} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}$$

When m=n=1 (single-variable function), Jacobian reduces to ...?

the derivative of \boldsymbol{f}



Jacobian for Matrix Inputs

- Given a function with *m* outputs and $n \times p$ inputs $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X}) = [f_1(\mathbf{X}), \dots, f_m(\mathbf{X})] \in \mathbb{R}^m, \text{ where } \mathbf{X} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$
- Jacobian is a m×n×p tensor (i.e., matrix of matrices) of partial derivatives:

$$\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{X})\right)_{ijk} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_{jk}}$$

The Jacobian math holds if you keep adding more dimensions to the input or output.



; [` u u? 5 w& 6 u% + H			> [# C 43 X 8 U F			Rt! /&k e <a KHK /&v</a 			Fq{y`V qe\$",G J3/{.r <fuwk8< th=""><th></th><th></th></fuwk8<>		
Why Use Matrix/Tensor Form? gnp 0 ge ; j uwg 6 4 j J WK < Why Use Matrix/Tensor Form? gnp 0 ge ; j uwg 6 4 j J W V% 8 F											
		J R G [v ' * S 'i V c {	icq {Ut o0f	4 e/1Z- a 3Q('T# v gofY#R	Z 6 7 i 8 V				= + E m L @ } x U < e 9	Y 1Y ; 5 < g 0 nK	
🛛 In e	ssence, m	hatrix for	rm (mult	i-variate	calculu	JS) is j	ust an e	xtensic	on of singl	e-variable	мз
* calc * • •	CULUS. , } 4 F b - F - F b - F - F b - F - F b - F	. m = x N G . t) t % G 2 h Y olh. D 6 Y D 9 d # G & #		T 7 0 0 f V 5 0 f, V 5 {", V 7 2 px C 2 px V 0 \ v M 9 N			,		Q 0 0 x 7 B J = ^ i 0 3 J 9 < h g r i 6 t w L S a E c ,		
^h Two	o reasons:	@ ₩&L = T:&	n +q/ V yZY							LOy 'zf WP@F/-	
0	Compact	derivati	ons: wit	, h matrix	form c	alcula	ations we	e can c	ompute a	concise	
(statemen	i - ^ 3 ts.z a T V t J p Y B Y d	R d` +. 2y [m tM "0 @'		3 d H Q) Q 9 Z] < l ` a + u 3	1 a V Z I z			? [f o AT { .# N K 1	0 i V u E a 0 [(L 1 " 6 o 1 . V	
		ntina ala	^{ا ہ ہ ب}	in matrix	x form	is mu	ch faste	Q DU & - W i TQ P ^ U	\$ \ L H K (u F X و	TY! 1 o 7 > \$ V] & v z v x % y" 0 Ri
¹ O ⁴ GPUs are optimized for VERY FAST matrix/tensor operations.											
0 D F & 9 o ^ F 3 t E	R;]=< 7`m3pn B}kk#0 aEYPlv						Jk) m #p ltB 3%9!) L a = k {

Chain Rule

• Function composition: $z \circ y(x) = z(y(x)) = z(x)$

If z is a function of y, and y is a function of x, then z is a function of x, as well.



Then:

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$$



Chain Rule for Multivariable Functions

- Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$
- Composing them: $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})): \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^m$

The result looks similar to the single-variable setup:

$$J_{f \circ g}(x) = J_f(g(x)) J_g(x)$$

Note, the above statement is a **matrix** multiplication! Function $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}$ has *m* outputs and *d* inputs \rightarrow Jacobian's dims: *m* by *d*



g

X



Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $y: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $z: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$



What is the Jacobean of $z \circ \mathbf{y}(x) = z(y_1(x), ..., y_n(x))$? 1. $\mathbf{J}_{z \circ \mathbf{y}}(x) = \mathbf{J}_z(\mathbf{y}(x)) \ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}(x)$ 2. $\mathbf{J}_{z \circ \mathbf{y}}(x) = \left[\frac{\partial z}{\partial y_1}, ..., \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_n}\right] \left[\frac{\partial y_1}{\partial x}, ..., \frac{\partial y_n}{\partial x}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$ 3. $\mathbf{J}_{z \circ \mathbf{y}}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_i} \ \frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x}$ 4. All the above!





- We reviewed lots of background about neural networks!
 - \circ Linear algebra foundation
 - Gradient descent
 - Extending gradients to tensor form: Jacobians

• Next: training a neural net!



Training Neural Networks: Analytical Backprop



Recap: Multi-Layer Perceptron





[Slide: HKUST]

Recap: Multi-Layer Perceptron





[Slide: HKUST]

Training Neural Networks: Setup

- We are given an architecture though its weights **W**.
- We are given a training data $D = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i^*)\}$
- We are given a loss function *l*: ℝ×ℝ → (0, 1)
 l(*y**, *y*) quantifies distance between an answer *y** and prediction *y* = NN(**x**; **W**) lower is better.
- Overall objective to optimize: $\mathcal{L}(D; \mathbf{W}) = \sum_{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i^*) \in D} \ell(\mathrm{NN}(\mathbf{x}_i; \mathbf{W}), y_i^*)$



Training Neural Networks ~ Optimizing Parameters

- We can use gradient descent to minimizes the loss.
- At each step, the weight vector is modified in the direction that produces the steepest descent along the error surface.





Training Neural Networks ~ Optimizing Parameters



Training Neural Networks ~ Computing the Gradients

- How do you efficiently compute $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_i}$ for all parameters?
- It's easy to learn the final layer it's just a linear unit.
- How about the weights in the earlier layers (i.e., before the final layer)?



Necessity of a Principled Algorithm for Gradient Computation

- Depth gives more representational capacity to neural networks.
- However, computing gradients for deeper layers is not trivial and tedious.
- Even if we have analytical formula for gradient, if they're architecture-specific, they must be repeated for each new architecture.
- The solution is "Backpropagation" algorithm!







Architecture of the BERT model with over 24 layers and millions of parameters — we will study get to this model in a few weeks!

BP: Required Intuitions

- 1. Gradient Descent
 - Change the weights **W** in the direction of gradient to minimize the error function.
- 2. Chain Rule
 - Use the chain rule to calculate the weights of the intermediate weights
- 3. Dynamic Programming (Memoization)
 - Memoize the weight updates to make the updates faster.







A Generic Multi-Layer Perceptron

• Given the following definition:

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0} \text{ (input)}$ $\mathbf{h}_{i+1} = f_i(\mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{h}_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i} \text{ (hidden layer } i, 0 \le i \le L - 1\text{)}$ $y = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{h}_L \in \mathbb{R} \text{ (output)}$ $\mathcal{L} = \ell(y, y^*) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ (loss)}$

• Trainable parameters: $\mathbf{W} = {\mathbf{W}_0, \mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_L, \mathbf{u}}$



х

100



A Generic Neural Network: Forward Step

- Given some [initial] values for the parameters, we can compute the forward pass, layer by layer.
- Forward pass is basically *L* matrix multiplications, each followed by an activation function.
- Matrix multiplication can be done efficiently with GPUs.
 - Therefore, forward pass is somewhat fast.
- Complexity of forward pass is linear of depth O(L).



101



 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0}$ (input) $y = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{h}_L \in \mathbb{R}$ (output) $\mathbf{h}_{i+1} = f_i(\mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{h}_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ $\mathcal{L} = \ell(y, y^*) \in \mathbb{R}$ (loss) $(0 \le i \le L - 1)$ $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathbf{W}_0, \mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_L, \mathbf{u}\}$

We want the gradients of \mathcal{L} with respect to model parameters. Use the chain rule to simplify the following term:

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1})\right)^{\mathrm{T}} = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \ \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}) \ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-1})\right)$$



Х

 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0}$ (input) $y = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{h}_L \in \mathbb{R}$ (output) $\mathbf{h}_{i+1} = f_i(\mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{h}_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ $\mathcal{L} = \ell(y, y^*) \in \mathbb{R}$ (loss) $(0 \le i \le L - 1)$ $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathbf{W}_0, \mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_L, \mathbf{u}\}$

We want the gradients of \mathcal{L} with respect to model parameters. Use the chain rule to simplify the following term:

$$\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2})\right)^{\mathrm{T}} = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \ \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}) \ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}) \ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}}(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-2})\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$





 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0}$ (input) $y = \mathbf{u}^T \mathbf{h}_L \in \mathbb{R}$ (output) $\mathbf{h}_{i+1} = f_i(\mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{h}_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ $\mathcal{L} = \ell(y, y^*) \in \mathbb{R}$ (loss) $(0 \le i \le L - 1)$ $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathbf{W}_0, \mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_L, \mathbf{u}\}$

We want the gradients of \mathcal{L} with respect to model parameters. Use the chain rule to simplify the following term:

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-i}) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-i})\right)^{\mathrm{T}} =$$

$$\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}) \dots \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-i}+1}(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-i})\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$





 $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{h}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{d_0}$ (input) $v = \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h}_{L} \in \mathbb{R}$ (output) $\mathbf{h}_{i+1} = f_i(\mathbf{W}_i \mathbf{h}_i) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$ $\mathcal{L} = \ell(y, y^*) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{loss})$ $(0 \le i \le L - 1)$ $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathbf{W}_0, \mathbf{W}_1, ..., \mathbf{W}_L, \mathbf{u}\}$

We want the gradients of \mathcal{L} with respect to model parameters.

•
$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}) = (\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}))^{\mathrm{T}} = (\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_{L}) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}))^{\mathrm{T}}$$

• $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}) = (\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}))^{\mathrm{T}} = (\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_{L}) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L}}(\mathbf{h}_{L-1}) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L-1}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}))^{\mathrm{T}}$
• ...

•
$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_0) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-3})\right)^{\mathrm{T}} = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}) \dots \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{1}}(\mathbf{W}_{0})\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

In total, how many matrix multiplications are done here?

(A) O(L)

(B) $O(L^2)$ (C) $O(L^3)$

L + 2 matrix multiplications

Can we do better (C) $O(\exp(L))$ than this? ジ



 f_{L-1}

Caching Gradients: The Main Idea

Suppose we're computing.

 $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_{L}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1})\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$

What can we cache to speed up the gradient computations of the earlier layer?

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{h}_{L}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L}}(\mathbf{h}_{L-1}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L-1}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2})\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$





with Caching/Memoization

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{h}_{L}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1})\right)^{\mathrm{T}} = \left(\delta_{L} \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-1})\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{h}_{L}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L}}(\mathbf{h}_{L-1}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L-1}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2})\right)^{\mathrm{T}} = \left(\delta_{L-1} \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L-1}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2})\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$
$$\dots$$

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_0) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_L) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_L}(\mathbf{h}_{L-1}) \dots \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_1}(\mathbf{W}_0)\right)^{\mathrm{T}} = \left(\delta_1 \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_1}(\mathbf{W}_0)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$$

- Parameter gradients depend on the gradients of the earlier layers!
- So, when computing gradients at each layer, we don't need to start from scratch!
- I can reuse gradients computed for higher layers for lower layers (i.e., memoization).

Let δ_i denote Jacobian at the output of layer *i*: First layer: $\delta_L = \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_L)$ Subsequent layers: $\delta_i = \delta_{i+1} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_i}(\mathbf{h}_{i-1}), \forall i: 0 \le i \le L-1$

In total, how many matrix multiplications are done here when using caching/memoization? (A) O(L) (B) $O(L^2)$ (C) $O(L^3)$ (C) $O(\exp(L))$



Gradient: Local Grad + Upstream Grad

Gradients at each layer computed by

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-i}) = \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{L-i+1} & \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L-i+1}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-i}) \end{pmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$$
Upstream gradient ~ We lookup
from the layer above.

Let δ_i denote Jacobian at the output of layer *i*: $\delta_i = \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_L) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_L}(\mathbf{h}_{L-1}) \dots \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_i}(\mathbf{h}_{i-1})$ $\delta_i = \delta_{i+1} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_i}(\mathbf{h}_{i-1})$


A Generic Neural Network: Backward Step

- Backward step computes the gradients starting from the end to the beginning, layer by layer.
- Start by computing local gradients: J_{h_{L-i+1}}(W_{L-i})
- Use then to compute upstream gradients δ_L , then δ_{L-1} , then δ_{L-2} ,
- Use these to compute global gradients: ∇_L(W_i)
- Computational cost as a function of depth:
 - With memoization, gradient computation is a **linear** function of depth L
 - (same cost as the forward process!!)
 - \circ Without memorization, gradients computation would grow **quadratic** with L



A Generic Neural Network: Back Propagation_{u^Th}

In practice, this step is done

over **batches** of instances!

Initialize network parameters with random values

Loop until convergence

Loop over training instances

i. Forward step:

Start from the input and compute all the layers till the end (loss \mathcal{L})

ii. Backward step:

Compute local gradients, starting from the last layer Compute upstream gradients δ_i values, starting from the last layer Use δ_i values to compute global gradients $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_i)$ at each layer

iii.Gradient update:

Update each parameter: $\mathbf{W}_{i}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \mathbf{W}_{i}^{(t)} - \alpha \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{i})$



 f_0

W₀x





Backpropagation: an algorithm for training neural networks.

Using Dynamic Programming for efficient computation of gradients.

• Next: Backprop in real practice.



Backprop via Computation Graph



• In reality, neural networks are not as regular as the previous example ...



113

Backprop in General Computation Graph Single scalar output

- What if the network does not have a regular structure? Same idea!
- Sort the nodes in topological order (what depends on what)
 Cost: Linear in the number of nodes/edges.

Unsorted graph



Topologically sorted graph







Backprop in General Computation Graph Single scalar output

- What if the network does not have a regular structure? Same idea!
- 1. Sort the nodes in topological order (what depends on what)
- 2. Forward-Propagation:
 - Visit nodes in topological sort order and compute value of node given predecessors
 - **Cost:** Linear in the number of node/edges





Backprop in General Computation Graph Single scalar output

- What if the network does not have a regular structure? Same idea!
- 1. Sort the nodes in topological order (what depends on what)
- 2. Forward-Propagation:
 - Visit nodes in topological sort order and compute value of node given predecessors
- 3. Backward-Propagation:
 - Compute local gradients
 - Visit nodes in reverse order and compute global gradients using gradients of successors
 - **Cost:** Linear in the number of nodes/edges.





A Generic Example















$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $rac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, rac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $rac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, rac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $frac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, frac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $rac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, rac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $rac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, rac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $rac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, rac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $rac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, rac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $rac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, rac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $frac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, frac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y$$
 $frac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, frac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

- Evaluated at: x = -2, y = 5, z = -4
- Start with local gradients!

$$q=x+y \hspace{0.5cm} rac{\partial q}{\partial x}=1, rac{\partial q}{\partial y}=1$$

$$f=qz$$
 $rac{\partial f}{\partial q}=z, rac{\partial f}{\partial z}=q$





$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2)x_3 - x_4$$

Evaluated at: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (5, 4, 3, 2)$

Want:
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}$$
, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_3}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_4}$

In what order should we process the **forward** step?



 x_3



$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2)x_3 - x_4$$

Evaluated at: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (5, 4, 3, 2)$







25

 x_3

 $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2)x_3 - x_4$ Evaluated at: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (5, 4, 3, 2)$ f

In what order should we process the **backward** step?

 x_1

 x_3



$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2)x_3 - x_4$$

Evaluated at: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (5, 4, 3, 2)$



$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2)x_3 - x_4$$

Evaluated at: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (5, 4, 3, 2)$



$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2)x_3 - x_4$$

Evaluated at: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (5, 4, 3, 2)$



$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2)x_3 - x_4$$

Evaluated at: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (5, 4, 3, 2)$


U: Upstream grad L: Local grad

Computation Graph: An Example

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2)x_3 - x_4$$

Evaluated at: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (5, 4, 3, 2)$



U: Upstream grad L: Local grad

Computation Graph: An Example

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = (x_1 + x_2)x_3 - x_4$$

Evaluated at: $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (5, 4, 3, 2)$



Backprop via Computation Graph

- What if the network does not have a regular structure? Same idea!
- 1. Sort the nodes in topological order (what depends on what)
- 2. Forward-Propagation:
 - Visit nodes in topological sort order and compute value of node given predecessors
- 3. Backward-Propagation:
 - Compute local gradients
 - Visit nodes in reverse order and compute global gradients using gradients of successors





Demo Time!

<u>https://teachablemachine.withgoogle.com/</u>

Class 1 🧷	0 0 0					
Add Image Samples:						
Webcam Upload			Training	Preview	→ Export Model	
Class 2 🖉		\rangle	Train Model	You must tra	in a model on the left	
Add Image Samples:		Advanced ~		before you c	an preview it here.	
Dt ⊥ Webcam Upload						
⊕ Add a class						





- Computation graphs: directed graph where the nodes correspond to mathematical operations.
 - A way of expressing mathematical operations.
- This allows general-purpose implementation of Backprop to any form of networks (not just multilayer perceptron).
 - This is why n practice you don't need to worry about implementing Backprop!!



• **Next:** Implementing Backprop yourself + industrial software libraries.



Backprop via Automatic Differentiation



Backward propagation

- The computation graph makes it easy to backpropagate all the way
- We implement this into the library so that the library does this for us!







[Slide credit: Arman Cohan]

```
1 \sim class Tensor:
          def __init__(self, value, children=(), _op=None, label=''):
 2 ~
              self.value = value
              self.grad = 0.0
 5
              self._prev = set(children)
 6
              self. op = op
              self_label = label
          def __add__(self, other):
   \sim
10
              out = Tensor(self.value + other.value, children=(self, other), _op='+')
11
              return out
12
13 🗸
          def __mul__(self, other):
14
              out = Tensor(self.value * other.value, children=(self, other), _op='*')
15
              return out
```



*

The computational graph should be directed and acyclic.

We start calling backward in order

def backward(self): netowork = [] visited = set() def build_netowork(node): if node not in visited: visited.add(node) for child in node._prev: build_netowork(child) netowork.append(node) build netowork(self) self.grad = 1.0for node in reversed(netowork): node__backward()

Auto-diff in PyTorch



PyTorch's Implementation: Forward/Backward API

- PyTorch has implementation of forward/backward operations for various operators.
- Example: multiplication operator







PyTorch Operators

 PyTorch's lower-level functions translate activities to graphics processor via libraries like OpenGL

۵	mul_scalarglsl	[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (#69330)	last year
Ľ	nchw_to_image.glsl	[vulkan] Enable 2D texture types (#86971)	2 months ago
Ľ	nchw_to_image2d.glsl	[vulkan] Enable 2D texture types (#86971)	2 months ago
Ľ	nchw_to_image_int32.glsl	[Vulkan] Enable copying QInt8 and QInt32 tensors from cpu to vulkan. (#	last month
Ľ	nchw_to_image_int8.glsl	[Vulkan] Enable copying QInt8 and QInt32 tensors from cpu to vulkan. (#	last month
۵	nchw_to_image_uint8.glsl	[Vulkan] Enable copying QInt8 and QInt32 tensors from cpu to vulkan. (#	last month
Ľ	permute_4d.glsl	[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (#69330)	last year
Ľ	quantize_per_tensor_qint32.glsl	[Vulkan] Enable QInt8 and QInt32 quantization (#89788)	last month
Ľ	quantize_per_tensor_qint8.glsl	[Vulkan] Enable QInt8 and QInt32 quantization (#89788)	last month
Ľ	quantize_per_tensor_quint8.glsl	[Vulkan] Enable QInt8 and QInt32 quantization (#89788)	last month
Ľ	quantized_add.glsl	[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (#89456)	last month
Ľ	quantized_conv2d.glsl	[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (#89456)	last month
Ľ	quantized_conv2d_dw.glsl	[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (#89456)	last month
Ľ	quantized_conv2d_pw_2x2.glsl	[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (#89456)	last month
Ľ	quantized_div.glsl	[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (#89456)	last month
Ľ	quantized_mul.glsl	[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (#89456)	last month
Ľ	quantized_sub.glsl	[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (#89456)	last month
۵	quantized_upsample_nearest2d.glsl	[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (#89456)	last month
۵	reflection_pad2d.glsl	[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (#69330)	last year
۵	replication_pad2d.glsl	[vulkan] replication_pad2d.glsl: use clamp() instead of min(max()) (#	7 months ago
۵	select_depth.glsl	[Vulkan] Implement select.int operator (#81771)	5 months ago
Ľ	sigmoid.glsl	[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (#69330)	last year
Ľ	sigmoidglsl	[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (#69330)	last year
۵	slice_4d.glsl	[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (#69330)	last year
Ľ	softmax.glsl	[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (#69330)	last year
Ľ	stack_feature.glsl	[Vulkan] Implement Stack operator (#81064)	5 months ago
Ľ	sub.glsl	[Vulkan] Implement arithmetic ops where one of the arguments is a ten	5 months ago
Ľ	subglsl	[Vulkan] Implement arithmetic ops where one of the arguments is a ten	5 months ago
Ľ	tanh.glsl	[vulkan] Clamp tanh activation op input to preserve numerical stabili	10 months ago
Ľ	tanhglsl	[vulkan] Clamp tanh activation op input to preserve numerical stabili	10 months ago
Ľ	threshold.glsl	[vulkan] fix some broken tests in vulkan_api_test (#80962)	6 months ago
Ľ	upsample_nearest2d.glsl	[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (#69330)	last year



Example Activation Functions

ᢞ master - pytorch / aten / src / ATen / native / vulkan / glsl / tanh.glsl

```
🗕 SS-JIA [vulkan] Clamp tanh activation op input to preserve numerical stabili... …
🙉 2 contributors 🛛 🔕 🕕
27 lines (21 sloc) 777 Bytes
  1 #version 450 core
  2 #define PRECISION $precision
     #define FORMAT $format
  3
  Δ
  5
     layout(std430) buffer;
  6
     /* Qualifiers: layout - storage - precision - memory */
  7
  8
  9
     layout(set = 0, binding = 0, FORMAT) uniform PRECISION restrict writeonly image3D uOutput;
 10
      layout(set = 0, binding = 1)
                                          uniform PRECISION
                                                                               sampler3D uInput;
     layout(set = 0, binding = 2)
                                          uniform PRECISION restrict
                                                                              Block {
 11
 12
       ivec4 size;
 13
     } uBlock:
 14
 15
     layout(local size x id = 0, local size y id = 1, local size z id = 2) in;
 16
 17
     void main() {
 18
        const ivec3 pos = ivec3(gl_GlobalInvocationID);
 19
 20
        if (all(lessThan(pos, uBlock.size.xyz))) {
         const vec4 intex = texelFetch(uInput, pos, 0);
21
22
          imageStore(
 23
              uOutput,
 24
              pos,
 25
              tanh(clamp(intex, -15.0, 15.0)));
 26
       }
 27 }
```



Check out PyTorch Documentations

- This is the main library the vast majority of the community uses.
- It contains hundreds of mathematical operations with "backward()" function to allow automatic gradient computation on computation graph.
- See: <u>https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/index.html</u>





Backprop in PyTorch

$$f(x,y,z) = (x+y)z$$

Want:
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$$



- x = torch.tensor(-2.0, requires_grad=True)
 y = torch.tensor(5.0, requires_grad=True)
- z = torch.tensor(-4.0, requires_grad=True)
- f = (x+y)*z # Define the computation graph

f.backward() # PyTorch's internal backward gradient computation

print('Gradients after backpropagation:', x.grad, y.grad, z.grad)

Why Learn All These Details About Backprop?

- Modern deep learning frameworks compute gradients for you!
- But why take a class on compilers or systems when they are implemented for you?
 - Understanding what is going on under the hood is useful!
- Backpropagation doesn't always work perfectly out of the box
 Understanding why is crucial for debugging and improving models





- Modern deep learning libraries such as PyTorch implement a vast library of operations to allow automatic and efficient Backprop.
- We will make extensive use of PyTorch in this class (yay!)
- Next: We will discuss a few practical considerations regarding training NNs.



Practical considerations for training neural nets



Batching

• GPUs are fast with Tensor operations

 Rather than visiting instances in sequentially , batch them together for faster training and inference.







Batches of Data: Example

- The case of natural language:
 - \circ Each word is mapped to a vector \mathbb{R}^{d}



 \circ Then, each sentence of length is mapped to a matrix $\mathbb{R}^{\ell \times d}$





d = 2

Matrix



Batches of Data, In Practice

PyTorch makes it easy to batch data.

- All its functionalities are designed around batched process.
- For example, you can create any tensor of **any** dimension.

TORCH.RAND

torch.rand(*size, *, generator=None, out=None, dtype=None, layout=torch.strided, device=None, requires_grad=False, pin_memory=False) → Tensor

S

Returns a tensor filled with random numbers from a uniform distribution on the interval $\left[0,1
ight)$

The shape of the tensor is defined by the variable argument size.

Parameters

size (*int...*) – a sequence of integers defining the shape of the output tensor. Can be a variable number of arguments or a collection like a list or tuple.



https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/generated/torch.rand.html

Batches of Data, In Practice

Avoid loops, use tensors.

```
A = torch.randn(10, 10)
```

```
B = torch.randn(10, 10)
```

```
C = matmul(A, B)
```

import torch					
<i># Example usage:</i>					
A = torch.randn(10,	10)				
B = torch.randn(10,	10)				
C = torch.matmul(A,	B)				

Normalize Your Data!

- We do not like very large numbers.
 - Large numbers lead to numerical problems (e.g., overflow) and lead to NaNs for
- We prefer if our data is distributed around zero.





Normalize Your Data!

- We do not like very large numbers.
 - Large numbers lead to numerical problems (e.g., overflow) and lead to NaNs for
- We prefer if our data is distributed around zero.



Non-Zero-Centered Data

$$f = \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b} \qquad \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_i} = \text{upstream} \times \mathbf{x}_i$$

If data is always positive (i.e., ∀i: x_i > 0), all the dimensions of ∇_wL would have the same sign (all positive or all negative, same sign as upstream).



Normalization: Layer, Batch, ...

- Normalization of values standardizes the ranges of values
- Prevents value disparities
- Stabilizes and speeds up training

See PyTorch documentations: <u>https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/nn.html#normalization-layers</u>





 $y = rac{x - \mathrm{E}[x]}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}[x] + \epsilon}} * \gamma + eta$

Activation Functions

- How do you choose what activation function to use?
- In general, it is problem-specific and might require trial-and-error.
- Here are some tips about popular action functions.





Activation Functions : Sigmoid

- Squashes numbers to range [0,1]
- Historically popular, interpretation as "firing rate" of a neuron
- Key limitation: Saturated neurons "kill" the gradients
- Whenever |x| > 5, the gradients are basically zero.







[dance figure: https://www.imaginary.org/gallery/maths-dance-moves]



- Still saturates |x| > 3 and "kill" the gradients
- Zero-centered faster optimization (why?)

tanh(x)

[LeCun et al., 1991]



[dance figure: https://www.imaginary.org/gallery/maths-dance-moves]

Activation Functions : ReLU

- Computationally efficient
- In practice, converges faster than sigmoid/tanh in practice
- Does not saturate (in +region) will die less!



ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit)

[Krizhevsky et al., 2012]



[dance figure: https://www.imaginary.org/gallery/maths-dance-moves]

Activation Functions : Leaky ReLU

- Does not saturate will not die.
- Computationally efficient
- In practice it converges faster than sigmoid/tanh in practice
- Other parametrized variants:
 - \circ Parametric Rectifier (PReLU): $f(x) = \max(lpha x, x)$ [He et al., 2015]
 - \circ Maxout: $\max(w_1^Tx+b_1,w_2^Tx+b_2)$ [Goodfellow et al., 2013]
- Provide more flexibility, though at the cost of more learnable parameters.
 - $\circ\;$ For example, Maxout doubles the number of parameters.

10

 $f(x) = \max(0.01x, x)$

Choose Activations: In Practice

• In general, it is problem-specific and might require trial-and-error.

- A useful recipe:
 - 1. Generally, ReLU is a good activation to start with.
 - 2. Time/compute permitting, you can try other activations to squeeze out more performance.



Exploding/Vanishing Gradients

- If many numbers |x| > 1 get multip
- NaN gradients --> no learning!
- If many numbers |x| < 1 get multip
- Zero gradients -> no learning!





Exploding/Vanishing Gradients

• Remember gradient computation at layer L - k:

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-k}) = \left(\mathbf{J}_{\ell}(\mathbf{y}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathbf{h}_{L}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L}}(\mathbf{h}_{L-1}) \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L-1}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}) \dots \, \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L-k+1}}(\mathbf{W}_{L-k}) \right)^{T}$$

O(k)-many matrix multiplication

- This matrix multiplication could quickly approach

 ∞, if the matrix elements are a large exploding gradients.
 0, if the matrix elements are small vanishing gradients.
 ∞/0 gradients would kill learning (no flow of information).
- For those interested, convergences of matrix powers is determined by its largest eigenvalue (HW, extra credit).


Residual Connections/Blocks

- Create direct "information highways" between layers.
- Shown to diminish vanishing/exploding gradients
- Early in the training, there are fewer layers to propagate through.
 - The network would restore the skipped layers, as it learns richer features.
 - It is also shown to make the optimization objective smoother.

[Fun fact: the paper (He et al. 2015) introducing residual layers is the most cited paper of century!!]







Weight Initialization

Initializing all weights with a fixed constant (e.g., 0's) is a very bad idea! (why?)



- If the neurons start with the same weights, then all the neurons will follow the same gradient, and will always end up doing the same thing as one another.
- Effective initialization is one that breaks such "symmetries" in the weight space.



Weight Initialization

Better idea: initialize weights with random Gaussian noise.

There are fancier initializations (Xavier, Kaiming, etc.) that we won't get into.



Over-training Prevention

- Running too many epochs and/or a NN with many hidden layers may lead to an overfit network
- Keep a held-out validation set and evaluate accuracy after every epoch
- Early stopping: maintain weights for best performing network on the validation set and return it when performance decreases significantly beyond that.



Dropout Training

- In each forward pass, randomly set some neurons to zero
- Probability of dropping is a hyperparameter; 0.5 is common

 \otimes

- Dropout is implicitly an ensemble (average) o • Each binary mask is one model
 - For example, a layer with 4096 units has 24096 ~ 101233 possible masks!





44

Test Error

100

Epochs

15 frames 3 lavers 2000 units 15 frames 3 layers 4000 units

31 frames 3 layers 4000 units 31 frames 4 layers 4000 units

finetuning without dropout finetuning with dropout

150

HNS HOPKINS winnes senso [Hinton et al, 2012; Srivastava et al, "Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting", JMLR 2014] 200

Dropout During Test Time

- The issue for the test time:
 - Dropout adds randomization. ☺
 - Each dropout mask would lead to a slightly different outcome.
- In ideal world, we would like to "average out" the outcome across all the possible random masks:
 - Not feasible.
 - Remember the example: a layer with 4096 units has 24096 ~ 101233 possible masks!
 - Only ~ 10⁸² atoms in the universe ...







(a) Standard Neural Net

Dropout During Test Time (2)

- The alternative is to not apply dropout.
- Without dropout, the input values to each neuron would be higher than what was seen during the training (mismatch between train/test).
- **Example:** imagine we apply dropout (p=0.5) to the following model:
 - Training time: $E[a] = \frac{1}{4}(w_1x_1 + w_2x_2) + \frac{1}{4}(0+0) + \frac{1}{4}(0+w_2x_2) + \frac{1}{4}(w_1x_1+0) = \frac{1}{2}(w_1x_1 + w_2x_2)$ • Test time: $E[a] = w_1x_1 + w_2x_2$



- **Solution:** scale the values proportional to dropout probability.
 - Can be applied in either testing (scaling down) or training (scaling up).
 - A very common interview question! ☺



Dropout in Practice

Just call the PyTorch function!

It automatically

- activates the dropout for training.

```
- deactivatives it during evaluations and scales the values according to its parameter.
```

dropout = nn.Dropout(p=0.2)
x = torch.randn(20, 16)
y = dropout(x)

<pre># training step</pre>
<pre> model.train()</pre>
•••
<pre># evaluate model:</pre>
•••
<pre>model.eval()</pre>
•••



The Only Time You Want to Overfit: The First Tryout

- A model with buggy implementation (e.g., incorrect gradient calculations or updates) cannot learn anything.
- Therefore, a good and easy sanity check is to see if you can overfit few examples.
 This is really the first test you should do, before any hyperparameter tuning.
- Try to train to 100% training accuracy/performance on a small sample (<30) of training data and monitor the training loss trends.
 - Does it down? If not, something must be wrong.
 - Try checking the learning rate or modifying the initialization.
 - If those don't help, check the gradients.
 - If they're NaN or Inf, might indicate exploding gradients.
 - If they're zeros, might indicate vanishing gradients.



Additional Comments on Training

- No guarantee of convergence; neural networks form non-convex functions with multiple local minima
- In practice, many large networks can be trained on large data.
- Many steps (tens of thousands) may be needed for adequate training.
- May be tricky to set learning rate or number of hidden units/layers.
- To avoid local minima: several trials with different random initial weights with majority or voting techniques



Intuition about Neural Net Representations



WHITING SCHOOL

Intuition about Neural Net Representations





[Zeiler & Fergus 2013; Yosinski et al. 2015]





- Feed-forward network architecture
 - But many of the concepts here hold for any architecture.
- Lots of empirical tricks for training neural networks:
 - Things to be careful about: over-fitting, activations, exploding/vanishing gradients, ...

