## Neural Networks

## CSCI 601-471/671 (NLP: Self-Supervised Models)

https://self-supervised.cs.jhu.edu/sp2024/

## How was HW1

- Select that best applies:

1. It was smooth sailing through things I knew; my hamster nearly finished it.
2. it was familiar stuff but I had to learn or refresh a few things.
3. It was like shoveling snow in the middle of a blizzard, it just kept getting worse
4. It was so challenging, it felt like climbing Mount Everest with slippers on.

## HW2 is released

- Did you see it?
- Due Tuesday noon.
- Feels like a long time away? it's due in 120 hours!


## "Can I use external libraries?" No, unless specified!

- Use the basic Python functions (no external libraries), unless explicitly specified.
- In almost all places, you're not expected to write more than 3-4 lines of code.

```
[ ] # a function that resturns the top `k` most similar words to `input_word`
    def my_most_similar(input_word, k):
        words = embeddings.vocab.keys() # list of words covered by this word embedding
        input_word_emd = embeddings[input_word]
        ### START CODE HERE ###
        ### END CODE HERE ###
        return top_k_most_similar_words
```

        my_most_similar('cat', 10)
    
## "I can't install ...."

- Current code is based on 3.6.0.
- If you use other version, you might need to make minor changes to Gensim functions. Feel free to consult with Gensim documentation.
- This is part of any programming experience. It's part of the job! Don't hate it, embrace it!


## Recap: Language Modeling

- Language Modeling: estimating distributions over language.
- One approach we previously saw: counting word co-occurrences.
- Pro: easy - just count!
- Con: difficult to scale to longer context due to the sparsity challenge.
- Another approach:
- Using a learnable function that can estimate word transition probabilities.
- Now: What are these learnable functions and how can we train them.


## Neural Networks: Chapter Plan

1. Defining neural networks (feedforward nets)
2. Neural nets: brief history
3. Algebra background for training neural nets
4. Training neural networks: analytical backpropagation
5. Backprop in practice

Chapter goal: Get comfortable with thinking, designing and building neural networks - very powerful modeling tools.

# Feedforward Neural Nets 

## Neural Networks

- What are neural networks?
- Functions that take an input and produce an output.

- What is inside this box?

How Neural Networks work? Neurons:


## Feedforward networks

- This is a particular class called "feedforward" networks.
- Cascade neurons together



## Feedforward networks

- Inputs multiplied by initial set of weights



## Feedforward networks

- Intermediate "predictions" computed at first hidden layer



## Feedforward networks

- Intermediate predictions multiplied by second layer of weights
- Predictions are fed forward through the network



## Feedforward networks

- Compute second set of intermediate predictions



## Feedforward networks

- Multiply by final set of weights



## Feedforward networks

- Aggregate all the computations in the output
- e.g. probability of a particular class



## Feedforward networks

- All the intermediate parameters are ought to be learned.



## Feedforward Neural Network

- Neural Networks are functions!
- Function class for approximating real-valued, discrete-valued and vector valued target functions.
- NN: $\boldsymbol{X} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{Y}$ where $\boldsymbol{X}=[0,1]^{n}$, or $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $\boldsymbol{Y}=[0,1]^{d},\{0,1\}^{d}$
- Example: A 2-layer neural network
- The input, hidden and output variables are represented by nodes
- The links are the weight parameters
- Arrows denote direction of information flow through the network



## Neural Network: Making it bigger

Add more layers, or wider layers!

A 2-layer neural network


A 3-layer neural network


## Feedforward Neural Network: The Neurons

- A mathematical model of neuron is "perceptron".
- It consists of a non-linear function that "fires" if the affine (linear) function of inputs is above a threshold.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{y}=\sigma\left(b+\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} x_{i}\right) \\
& \sigma(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} \text { (sigmoid function) }
\end{aligned}
$$



- The bias is the negative of the threshold T in the previous slide


## Feedforward Neural Network: The Neurons

- Sigmoid is a "squashing" function.
- It maps small inputs to zero.
- It maps large inputs to one.

$$
\mathrm{y}=\sigma\left(b+\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_{i} x_{i}\right)
$$

$$
\sigma(z)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} \text { (sigmoid function) }
$$



- The bias is the negative of the threshold T in the previous slide


## Other Activation Functions

Does not always have to be a squashing function

Sigmoid
$\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}}$

tanh
$\tanh (x)$

ReLU
$\max (0, x)$

Leaky ReLU $\max (0.1 x, x)$


Maxout

$$
\max \left(w_{1}^{T} x+b_{1}, w_{2}^{T} x+b_{2}\right)
$$

ELU

$$
\begin{cases}x & x \geq 0 \\ \alpha\left(e^{x}-1\right) & x<0\end{cases}
$$



We will talk about their pro/cons later!

## Terminology: Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)

- Multi-layer Perceptron (MLP):
- A feedforward network with perceptrons as its nodes.
- A feedforward network does not have to be an MLP.
- But people sometimes use the names
 interchangeably!
- The original MLP [McCulloch-Pitts] was based on "threshold" activation.



## Formally Defining an MLP

- Example: A 2-layer MLP network
- The input, hidden and output variables are represented by nodes
- The links are the weight parameters
- Arrows denote direction of information flow through the network

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(\mathbf{x})=W_{2} g\left(W_{1} \mathbf{x}\right) \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \\
& g(\mathbf{z})=\left[\sigma\left(z_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(z_{h}\right)\right] \text { (nonlinearity) } \sigma\left(z_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} \text { (sigmoid function) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- $W_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{h \times n}$ and $W_{2} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times h}$ are the parameters that need to be learned.


## Quiz Time (1)

- What is needed to fully specify a neural network?

1. Architecture (which input goes through what function etc.)
2. Parameters of the function (the weights)
3. Both

## Quiz Time (2)

- Which of the followings has more parameters?



## Quiz Time (3)

- Given an input to these models, which of them take longer to compute an output?



## Why Add Non-linearity?

- Without non-linearity, the overall model amounts to a linear model.

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=W_{2} g\left(W_{1} \mathbf{x}\right) \quad \tilde{f}(\mathbf{x})=W_{2} W_{1} \mathbf{x}=W_{3} \mathbf{x} \text { (a linear function) }
$$

- A linear function cannot approximate complex tasks.
- Non-linearity adds capacity to the model to approximate any continuous function to arbitrary accuracy given sufficiently many hidden units.
- See "universal approximation theorem"


Cannot separate red and blue points with linear classifier

## Universal Approximation

- An MLP can represent any function, with enough expressivity.




## Quiz Time

- What makes neural networks expressive functions?

1. Activations (non-linearities)
2. Depth (number of hidden layers)
3. Width (number of variables in each hidden layer)
4. All the above

## Demo time!

- Link: https://playground.tensorflow.org/


## What is a good architecture? Depth vs. Width

- Architectural parameters of a neural network affect its capacity to learn.
- Deep vs. wide




## Depth vs Width on Boolean functions

- An MLP is a universal Boolean function.
- A shallow (single hidden layer) is a universal Boolean machine
- But it may require an exponentially large number of units.
- Deeper networks may require far fewer neurons than shallower networks to express the same function




## Depth vs Width on Boolean functions

- Theorem: There are certain class of functions with $n$ inputs that can be represented with deep neural network with $O(n)$ units, whereas it would require $O\left(2^{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ units for a shallow network.


Hastad, Almost optimal lower bounds for small depth circuits, 1986.

## Summary

- An MLP is a universal function
- But can represent a given function only if
- It is sufficiently wide
- It is sufficiently deep
- Depth can be traded off for (sometimes) exponential growth of the width of the network
- Optimal width and depth depend on the complexity of the problem.
- Next: A bit of history.


# Neural Nets: <br> Origin and History 

## Artificial Neurons: An Inspiration from Nature

- A single node in your neural network
- Accept information from multiple inputs
- Transmit information to other neurons
- A neuron's function is inspired by its biological counterpart:

- Apply some function on inputs signals
- If output of function over threshold, neuron "fires"



## Artificial Neurons: Not Quite Analogous to Nature

Biological neurons: complex connectivity


Neurons in an artificial neural network: organized based on a highly regular structure for computational efficiency

hidden layer 1 hidden layer 2
Source: Google Brain Map

## Very Brief History of Neural Networks

1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)
2. Symbolic AI \& knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
3. Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)

## Very Brief History of Neural Networks

1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)
2. Symbolic AI \& knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
3. Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)

## A Neuron as a Mathematical Model of Computation

- McCulloch and Pitts (1943) showed how linear threshold units can be used to compute logical functions

- An alternative model of computation (comparable to "Turing Machine")


## Perceptron Learning Rule - Imitating Nature's Learning Process

- Rosenblatt (1959) developed the Perceptron algorithm -
- An iterative algorithm for learning the weights of a linear threshold unit.

- A single neuron with a fixed input, it can incrementally change weights and learn to produce a fixed output using the Perceptron learning rule.
- Update each weights by:

$$
w_{i}=w_{i}+\eta(t-o) x_{i}
$$

## Quiz (1): Understanding Perceptron Update Rule

- Suppose the inputs $x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and $\eta=1$. If LTU's output $o$ exactly matches the target value $t$, How would the update rule change the weights?

1. Would increase them
2. Would decrease them
3. Would not change them

$$
w_{i}=w_{i}+\eta(t-o) x_{i}
$$



## Quiz (2): Understanding Perceptron Update Rule

- Suppose the inputs $x_{i} \in\{0,1\}$ and $\eta=1$. If LTU's output $o$ is smaller than the target value $t$, how would the update rule change the weights?

1. Would increase them
2. Would increase the weights for active inputs
3. Would decrease them
4. Would not change them

- After this update, the new output $o$ would be:

$$
w_{i}=w_{i}+\eta(t-o) x_{i}
$$

1. Larger
2. Smaller
3. Unchanged


## Perceptron: Demise

- "Perceptrons" (1969) by Minsky and Papert illuminated
few limitations of the perceptron.
- It showed that:
- Shallow (2-layer) networks are unable to learn or represent many classification functions (e.g. XOR) - Only the linearly separable functions are learnable.
- Also, there was an understanding that deeper networks were infeasible to train.

- Result: research on NNs dissipated during the 70's and early 80's!
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## Neural Networks Resurgence (1986)

- Interest in NNs revived in the mid 1980's due to the rise of "connectionism."
- Backpropagation algorithm was [re-]introduced for training three-layer NN's.
- Generalized the iterative "hill climbing" method to approximate networks with multiple layers, but no convergence guarantees.



## Second NN Demise (1995-2010)

- Generic backpropagation did not generalize that well to training deeper networks.
- Overfitting / underfitting remained an issue.
- Computers were still quite slow
- Little theoretical justification for underlying methods.
- Machine learning research moved to graphical/probabilistic models and kernel methods.
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## Deep Learning Revolution (2010...)

- Various successes with training deep neural works.
- Convolutional neural nets (CNNs) for vision - 2012 AlexNet showed 16\% error reduction on ImageNet benchmark.
- Rise of deep reinforcement learning for games-AlphaGo beat human players.


> 2012 ImageNet Challenge (top-5 error)


## Deep Learning Revolution (2010...)

- Various successes with training deep neural works.
- Convolutional neural nets (CNNs) for vision - 2012 AlexNet showed 16\% error reduction on ImageNet benchmark.
- Rise of deep reinforcement learning for games-AlphaGo beat human players.

ML+AI arXiv papers per month

## Deep Learning Revolution (2010...)

- The success continued enabled by 3 forces:
- Availability of massive [unlabeled] data - the data on Internet.
- Faster computing technologies - specialized hardware (e.g., GPUs)
- Algorithmic innovations - architectures, optimization, etc.

Annual Size of the Global Datasphere




## Very Brief History of Neural Networks

1. Single-layer neural networks (1943-1969)
2. Symbolic AI \& knowledge engineering (1970-1985)
3. Multi-layer NNs and symbolic learning (1985-1995)
4. Shallow statistical learning/probabilistic models (1995-2010)
5. Deep networks and self-supervised learning (2010-?)


## How it started

## How it's going



## Summary

- Neural networks have been long in the making since 1950s.
- It's a remarkable journey of science with many ups and downs.
- Next: How do you train NNs? We will start with some algebra refreshers.


## Background for Training NNs The Refreshers

## Machine Learning Problems

- Training data: Given a set of inputs and output labels:
- Inputs: $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$
- Outputs: $Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$
- Goal: Find a function $f(x ; \theta)$ with parameters $\theta$ that maps inputs in $X$ to output to $Y$
- Empirical risk: measure the quality of the predictions with a loss function:

$$
J(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(f\left(x_{i} ; \theta\right), y_{i}\right)
$$

## A Special Case: Linear Regression

- Training data: Given a set of inputs and output labels:
- Inputs: $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$
- Outputs: $Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$
- Goal: Find a linear function $f(x ; \theta)=\theta \cdot x$ that is best predictive of observations
- Empirical risk: measure the quality of the predictions with a loss function:

$$
J(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(\theta, x_{i}, y_{i}\right)
$$

## Quiz: Loss functions

- Remember the objective function of our learning problem:

$$
J(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(f\left(x_{i} ; \theta\right), y_{i}\right)
$$

- Which of the followings is a more reasonable loss function $\ell(z, w)$ ?

1. If $z$ and $w$ are far apart, the loss value should be higher
2. If $z$ and $w$ are far apart, the loss value should be lower
3. Neither

## Loss Functions

- The choice of loss function depends on the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell(y, \hat{y})=(y-\hat{y})^{2} \\
& \ell(y, \hat{y})=|y-\hat{y}|
\end{aligned}
$$



Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE)


Multinomial Classification

Categorical Cross Entropy (CCE)

Kullback Leibler Divergence (KLD)

Mean Bias Error (MBE)

## Quiz: MSE vs. MAE Ioss

- Remember MSE and MAE loss:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MSE: } \ell(y, \hat{y})=(y-\hat{y})^{2} \\
& \operatorname{MAE}: \ell(y, \hat{y})=|y-\hat{y}|
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Which visualization corresponds to which loss?

2. Which loss is more sensitive to outlier data (noisy outputs)?
3. Which loss is more difficult to compute gradients for?

## Loss Functions

Regression

- The choice of loss function depends on the problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ell(y, \hat{y})=(y-\hat{y})^{2} \\
& \ell(y, \hat{y})=|y-\hat{y}| \\
& \ell(y, \hat{y})=-\sum_{j}^{n} y_{j} \log \left(\widehat{y_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Multinomial Classification


```
Categorical Cross
    Entropy (CCE)
    Kullback Leibler
    Divergence (KLD)
```


## Loss Functions: Cross-Entropy

- A binary classification example: Without loss of generality: $\quad \ell(y, \widehat{y})=-\sum_{j}^{n} y_{j} \log \left(\widehat{y_{j}}\right)$
$\circ$ Gold labels: $y=[1,0]$ (i.e., first class is correct)
$\circ$ Predictions: $\hat{y}=[p, 1-p]$
- CE loss: $\ell(y, \hat{y})=-1 \times \log p-0 \times \log (1-p)=-\log p \quad \begin{gathered}\text { Summation over the } \\ \text { dimensions of } \mathbf{y}\end{gathered}$
- Question for you:
- If the model prediction is completely accurate, what is the loss?
- If the model prediction is completely off, what is the loss?


## Machine Learning Problems

- Training data: Given a set of inputs and output labels:
- Inputs: $X=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$
- Outputs: $Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$
- Goal: Find a function $f(x ; \theta)$ with parameters $\theta$ that maps inputs in $X$ to output to $Y$
- Empirical risk: measure the quality of the predictions with a loss function:

$$
J(\theta)=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell\left(f\left(x_{i} ; \theta\right), y_{i}\right)
$$

- Machine learning as optimization:



## Gradient Descent

- We have a cost function $J(\theta)$ we want to minimize
- We can use Gradient Descent algorithm!
- Idea: for current value of $\theta$, calculate gradient of $J(\theta)$, then take small step in direction of negative gradient. Repeat.
- Note: Our objectives may not be convex like this. But life turns out to be okay!
Cost

initial value
$\hat{\theta}$


## Gradient Descent (1): Intuition

- Imagine you're blindfolded
- Need to walk down a hill
- You can use your hands to find the directions that may be downhill


## Gradient Descent (2): Intuition

- In 1-dimension, the derivative of a function: $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta_{j}}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{L\left(\theta_{j}+h\right)-L\left(\theta_{j}\right)}{h}$
- Why step in direction of negative gradient?
- Gradient quantifies how rapidly the function $L(\theta)$ varies when we change the argument $\theta_{j}$ by a tiny amount.



## Gradient Descent (3)

- Update equation (in matrix notation):

$$
\alpha=\text { step size or learning rate }
$$

$$
\theta^{\text {new }}=\theta^{\text {old }}-\alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)
$$

- Update equation (for single parameter):

$$
\theta_{j}^{\text {new }}=\theta_{j}^{o l d}-\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}^{o l d}} J(\theta)
$$

- Iteratively subtract the gradient with respect to the model parameters $(\theta)$
- i.e., we're moving in a direction opposite to the gradient of the loss $L(\theta)$
- I.e., we're moving towards smaller loss $L(\theta)$
- Algorithm:

```
while True:
    theta_grad = evaluate_gradient(J,corpus,theta)
    theta = theta - alpha * theta_grad
```


## Gradient Descent (4)

- Update equation (in matrix notation): $\quad \theta^{n e w}=\theta^{o l d}-\alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)$



## Gradient Descent: Setting the Step Size

- What is a good value for step size $\alpha$ ?

$$
\theta^{\text {new }}=\theta^{\text {old }}-\alpha \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta)
$$

Too low

- If $\alpha=$ too small, it may be too slow
- If $\alpha=$ too large, it may oscillate

- It may take trial-and-errors to find the sweet spot.
" Another trick is to define a "schedule" for gradually reducing the learning rate starting from a large number.


## A Typical Machine Learning and Evaluation Protocol



## Summary Thus Far

- A statistical learning problem can be formulated as an optimization problem.
- The objective of this optimization consists of:
- Learning data (input/outputs)
- Predictive model architecture (encoding how an input gets mapped to an output)
- Loss function (quantifying quality of predictions)
- Soon, we will use see how to use Neural Nets as the predictive model.


## Algebra Refresher

## Derivatives

- First let's get the notation right:
- The arrow shows functional dependence of $z$ on $y$, i.e. given $y$, we can calculate $z$.
- For example: $z(y)=2 y^{2}$

- The derivative of $z$, with respect to $y$ : $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$


## Quiz time!

- If $z(x, y)=y^{4} x^{5}$ what is the following derivative $\frac{\partial z}{\partial y}$ ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}=4 y^{3} x^{5} \\
& \text { 2. } \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}=5 y^{4} x^{4} \\
& \text { 3. } \frac{\partial z}{\partial y}=20 y^{3} x^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. None of the above


## Gradient

- Given a function with 1 output and $n$ inputs

$$
f(\mathbf{x})=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}
$$



- Its gradient is a vector of partial derivatives with respect to each input

$$
\nabla f(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\vdots \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

(always assume vectors are column vectors, i.e., they're in $\mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ )

## Quiz time!

- If $z(x, y)=y^{4} x^{5}$ what is the following gradient $\nabla z$ ?

1. $\nabla z(x, y)=4 y^{3} x^{5}$
2. $\nabla z(x, y)=\left(5 y^{4} x^{4}, 20 y^{3} x^{4}\right)$
3. $\nabla z(x, y)=\left(5 y^{4} x^{4}, 4 y^{3} x^{5}\right)$
4. None of the above


## Jacobian Matrix: Generalization of the Gradient

- Given a function with $\boldsymbol{m}$ outputs and $\boldsymbol{n}$ inputs

$$
\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\left[f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$



- It's Jacobian is an $\boldsymbol{m} \times \boldsymbol{n}$ matrix of partial derivatives: $\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i j}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}$

$$
\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}
$$

## Quiz: Jacobian's special case (1)

- Remember Jacobians:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\left[f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \\
& \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \quad \text { or }\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i j}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- When $\mathrm{m}=1$ (scalar-valued function), Jacobian reduces to ...?

$$
\nabla^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \text { (gradient transpose) }
$$

## Quiz: Jacobian's special case (2)

- Remember Jacobians:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})=\left[f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \ldots, f_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \\
& \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{n}}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \quad \text { or }\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{x})\right)_{i j}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- When $m=n=1$ (single-variable function), Jacobian reduces to ...?
the derivative of $\mathbf{f}$


## Jacobian for Matrix Inputs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - Given a function with } \boldsymbol{m} \text { outputs and } \boldsymbol{n} \times \boldsymbol{p} \text { inputs } \\
& \qquad \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{X})=\left[f_{1}(\mathbf{X}), \ldots, f_{m}(\mathbf{X})\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{m}, \text { where } \mathbf{X}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1 p} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
x_{n 1} & \cdots & x_{n p}
\end{array}\right] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Jacobian is a $m \times n \times p$ tensor (i.e., matrix of matrices) of partial derivatives:

$$
\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{X})\right)_{i j k}=\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j k}}
$$

- The Jacobian math holds if you keep adding more dimensions to the input or output.


## Why Use Matrix/Tensor Form?

In essence, matrix form (multi-variate calculus) is just an extension of single-variable calculus.

## Two reasons:

- Compact derivations: with matrix form calculations we can compute a concise statements.
- Implementing algorithms in matrix form is much faster. $0_{\mathrm{m}}^{4}$ GPUs are optimized for VERY FAST matrix/tensor operations.


## Chain Rule

- Function composition:

$$
z \circ y(x)=z(y(x))=z(x)
$$

If $z$ is a function of $y$, and
$y$ is a function of $x$, then $z$ is a function of $x$, as well.

Then:

$$
\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial z}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x}
$$

## Chain Rule for Multivariable Functions

- Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbf{g}: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbf{f}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$
- Composing them: $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})): \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$

The result looks similar to the single-variable setup:

$$
\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x})) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{g}}(\mathbf{x})
$$

Note, the above statement is a matrix multiplication!
Function $\mathbf{f} \circ \mathbf{g}$ has $m$ outputs and $d$ inputs $\rightarrow$ Jacobian's dims: $m$ by $d$

## Quiz Time!

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{y}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbf{z}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$


What is the Jacobean of $z \circ \mathbf{y}(x)=z\left(y_{1}(x), \ldots, y_{n}(x)\right)$ ?

1. $\mathbf{J}_{z \circ \mathbf{y}}(x)=\mathbf{J}_{z}(\mathbf{y}(x)) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{y}}(x)$
2. $\mathbf{J}_{z \circ \mathbf{y}}(x)=\left[\frac{\partial z}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_{n}}\right]\left[\frac{\partial y_{1}}{\partial x}, \ldots, \frac{\partial y_{n}}{\partial x}\right]^{\mathrm{T}}$
3. $\mathbf{J}_{z \circ \mathbf{y}}(x)=\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial z}{\partial y_{i}} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial x}$
4. All the above!

## Summary

- We reviewed lots of background about neural networks!
- Linear algebra foundation
- Gradient descent
- Extending gradients to tensor form: Jacobians
- Next: training a neural net!


## Training Neural Networks: Analytical Backprop

## Recap: Multi-Layer Perceptron



## Recap: Multi-Layer Perceptron



## Training Neural Networks: Setup

- We are given an architecture though its weights $\mathbf{W}$.
- We are given a training data $D=\left\{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i}, y_{i}^{*}\right)\right\}$
- We are given a loss function $\ell: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(0,1)$
- $\ell\left(y^{*}, y\right)$ quantifies distance between an answer $y^{*}$ and prediction $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{NN}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathbf{W})$ - lower is better.
- Overall objective to optimize: $\mathcal{L}(D ; \mathbf{W})=\sum_{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i} y_{i}^{*}\right) \in D} \ell\left(\mathrm{NN}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i} ; \mathbf{W}\right), y_{i}^{*}\right)$

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}
$$



## Training Neural Networks ~ Optimizing Parameters

- We can use gradient descent to minimizes the loss.
- At each step, the weight vector is modified in the direction that produces the steepest descent along the error surface.


$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}
$$



## Training Neural Networks ~ Optimizing Parameters

For each sub-parameter $W_{i} \in \mathbf{W}$ :

$$
W_{i}^{(t+1)}=W_{i}^{(t)}-\alpha \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{i}}
$$

It all comes down to effectively computing $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{i}}$


$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}
$$



## Training Neural Networks ~ Computing the Gradients

- How do you efficiently compute $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial W_{i}}$ for all parameters?
- It's easy to learn the final layer - it's just a linear unit.
- How about the weights in the earlier layers (i.e., before the final layer)?

$$
\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{4}
$$



## Necessity of a Principled Algorithm for Gradient Computation

- Depth gives more representational capacity to neural networks.
- However, computing gradients for deeper layers is not trivial and tedious.
- Even if we have analytical formula for gradient, if they're architecture-specific, they must be repeated for each new architecture.
- The solution is "Backpropagation" algorithm!



## BP: Required Intuitions

1. Gradient Descent

- Change the weights $\mathbf{W}$ in the direction of gradient to minimize the error function.

2. Chain Rule

- Use the chain rule to calculate the weights of the intermediate weights

3. Dynamic Programming (Memoization)

- Memoize the weight updates to make the updates faster.



## A Generic Multi-Layer Perceptron

- Given the following definition:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{x}=\mathbf{h}_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{0}} \text { (input) } \\
& \mathbf{h}_{i+1}=f_{i}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i} \mathbf{h}_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{i}} \text { (hidden layer } i, 0 \leq i \leq L-1 \text { ) } \\
& y=\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h}_{L} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { (output) } \\
& \mathcal{L}=\ell\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { (loss) }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Trainable parameters: $\mathbf{W}=\left\{\mathbf{W}_{0}, \mathbf{W}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{W}_{L}, \mathbf{u}\right\}$



## A Generic Neural Network: Forward Step

- Given some [initial] values for the parameters, we can compute the forward pass, layer by layer.
- Forward pass is basically $L$ matrix multiplications, each followed by an activation function.
- Matrix multiplication can be done efficiently with GPUs.
- Therefore, forward pass is somewhat fast.
- Complexity of forward pass is .... linear of depth $O(L)$.



## A Generic Neural Network: Direct Gradients

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{h}_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{0}} \text { (input) } & y=\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h}_{L} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { (output) } \\
\mathbf{h}_{i+1}=f_{i}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i} \mathbf{h}_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{i}} & \mathcal{L}=\ell\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { (loss) } \\
(0 \leq i \leq L-1) & \mathbf{W}=\left\{\mathbf{W}_{0}, \mathbf{W}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{W}_{L}, \mathbf{u}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

We want the gradients of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to model parameters.
Use the chain rule to simplify the following term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{C}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{L}_{-1}}\right)= & \left(\mathbf{I}_{\epsilon}\left(\mathbf{(}_{L_{L-1}}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}= \\
& \left(\mathbf{J}_{\epsilon}(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\left.\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$
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Use the chain rule to simplify the following term:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}\right)=\left(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{(}_{L-2}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}= \\
& \quad\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-2}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## A Generic Neural Network: Direct Gradients

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-i}\right)=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-i}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}= \\
& \quad\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right) \ldots \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-\boldsymbol{i}}+\mathbf{1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-\boldsymbol{i}}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$



## A Generic Neural Network: Direct Gradients

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{h}_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{0}} \text { (input) } & y=\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h}_{L} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { (output) } \\
\mathbf{h}_{i+1}=f_{i}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i} \mathbf{h}_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{i}} & \mathcal{L}=\ell\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text { (loss) } \\
(0 \leq i \leq L-1) & \mathbf{W}=\left\{\mathbf{W}_{0}, \mathbf{W}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{W}_{L}, \mathbf{u}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

| $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{h}_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{0}}$ (input) | $y=\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h}_{L} \in \mathbb{R} \quad$ (output) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{h}_{i+1}=f_{i}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i} \mathbf{h}_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d_{i}}$ | $\mathcal{L}=\ell\left(y, y^{*}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \quad$ (loss) |
| $(0 \leq i \leq L-1)$ | $\mathbf{W}=\left\{\mathbf{W}_{0}, \mathbf{W}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{W}_{L}, \mathbf{u}\right\}$ |



- $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}\right)=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$

3 matrix
multiplications
4 matrix multiplications

- $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{0}\right)=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-3}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right) \ldots \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{0}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$

In total, how many matrix multiplications are done here?
(A) $O(L)$
(B) $O\left(L^{2}\right)$
(C) $O\left(L^{3}\right)$
(C) $O(\exp (L))$

Can we do better than this?


## Caching Gradients: The Main Idea

- Suppose we're computing.

$$
\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}\right)=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

- What can we cache to speed up the gradient computations of the earlier layer?

$$
\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}\right)=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-2}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
$$



## A Generic Neural Network: Gradients with Caching/Memoization

$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-1}\right)=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\delta_{L} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$
$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-2}\right)=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-2}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\delta_{L-1} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-2}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$
$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{0}\right)=\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right) \ldots \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{0}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\delta_{1} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{0}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$


- Parameter gradients depend on the gradients of the earlier layers!
- So, when computing gradients at each layer, we don't need to start from scratch!
- I can reuse gradients computed for higher layers for lower layers (i.e., memoization).

> Let $\delta_{i}$ denote Jacobian at the output of layer $i$ :
> First layer: $\delta_{L}=\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)$
> Subsequent layers: $\delta_{i}=\delta_{i+1} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{i}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{i-1}\right), \forall i: 0 \leq i \leq L-1$

In total, how many matrix multiplications are done here when using caching/memoization?
(A) $O(L)$
(B) $O\left(L^{2}\right)$
(C) $O\left(L^{3}\right)$
(C) $O(\exp (L))$


## Gradient: Local Grad + Upstream Grad

- Gradients at each layer computed by


Upstream gradient ~ We lookup from the layer above.

> Local Gradient

Let $\delta_{i}$ denote Jacobian at the output of layer $i$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{i}=\mathbf{J}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right) \ldots \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{i}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{i-1}\right) \\
\delta_{i}=\delta_{i+1} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{i}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{i-1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$



## A Generic Neural Network: Backward Step

- Backward step computes the gradients starting from the end to the beginning, layer by layer.
- Start by computing local gradients: $\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-i+1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-i}\right)$
- Use then to compute upstream gradients $\delta_{L}$, then $\delta_{L-1}$, then $\delta_{L-2,} \ldots$.
- Use these to compute global gradients: $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i}\right)$
- Computational cost as a function of depth:
- With memoization, gradient computation is a linear function of depth L
- (same cost as the forward process!!)
- Without memorization, gradients computation would grow quadratic with L



## A Generic Neural Network: Back Propagation

Initialize network parameters with random values

Loop until convergence
Loop over training instances

## In practice, this step is done over batches of instances!

i. Forward step:

Start from the input and compute all the layers till the end (loss $\mathcal{L}$ )

## ii. Backward step:

Compute local gradients, starting from the last layer
Compute upstream gradients $\delta_{i}$ values, starting from the last layer Use $\delta_{i}$ values to compute global gradients $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i}\right)$ at each layer
iii. Gradient update:

Update each parameter: $\quad \mathbf{W}_{i}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \mathbf{W}_{i}^{(t)}-\alpha \nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{i}\right)$


[^0]
## Summary

- Backpropagation: an algorithm for training neural networks.
- Using Dynamic Programming for efficient computation of gradients.
- Next: Backprop in real practice.


## Backprop via Computation Graph

## Computation Graph: Example

- In reality, neural networks are not as regular as the previous example ...



## Backprop in General Computation Graph <br> Single scalar output

$\square-$

- What if the network does not have a regular structure? Same idea!

1. Sort the nodes in topological order (what depends on what)

- Cost: Linear in the number of nodes/edges.


Topologically
sorted graph



## Backprop in General Computation Graph

Single scalar output


## Backprop in General Computation Graph <br> Single scalar output



- What if the network does not have a regular structure? Same idea!

1. Sort the nodes in topological order (what depends on what)
2. Forward-Propagation:

- Visit nodes in topological sort order and compute value of node given predecessors

3. Backward-Propagation:

- Compute local gradients
- Visit nodes in reverse order and compute global gradients using gradients of successors
- Cost: Linear in the number of nodes/edges.


## A Generic Example








## Computation Graph: An Example

$$
f(x, y, z)=(x+y) z
$$

- Evaluated at: $x=-2, y=5, z=-4$
- Start with local gradients!
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## Computation Graph: An Example

$$
f(x, y, z)=(x+y) z
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## Computation Graph: An Example
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## Computation Graph: An Example

$$
f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) x_{3}-x_{4}
$$

Evaluated at: $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)=(5,4,3,2)$


## Backprop via Computation Graph

- What if the network does not have a regular structure? Same idea!

1. Sort the nodes in topological order (what depends on what)
2. Forward-Propagation:

- Visit nodes in topological sort order and compute value of node given predecessors

3. Backward-Propagation:

- Compute local gradients
- Visit nodes in reverse order and compute global gradients using gradients of successors

$\underset{\substack{\text { whiting school } \\ \text { of ENGINEERING }}}{ }$


## Demo Time!

- https://teachablemachine.withgoogle.com/



## Summary

- Computation graphs: directed graph where the nodes correspond to mathematical operations.
- A way of expressing mathematical operations.
- This allows general-purpose implementation of Backprop to any form of networks (not just multilayer perceptron).
- This is why n practice you don't need to worry about implementing Backprop!!
- Next: Implementing Backprop yourself + industrial software libraries.


## Backprop via

 Automatic Differentiation
## Backward propagation

- The computation graph makes it easy to backpropagate all the way
- We implement this into the library so that the library does this for us!


```
1 \vee class Tensor:
2 \vee def __init__(self, value, children=(), _op=None, label=''):
3
        self.value = value
        self.grad = 0.0
        self._prev = set(children)
        self._op = _op
        self.label = label
    def __add__(self, other):
        out = Tensor(self.value + other.value, children=(self, other), _op='+')
        return out
    def __mul__(self, other):
        out = Tensor(self.value * other.value, children=(self, other), _op='*')
        return out
```


def __init__(self, value, children=(), _op=None, label=""):
self.value = value
self.grad $=0.0$
self._backward = lambda: None
self._prev = set(children)
self._op = _op
self.label = label
def __mul__(self, other):
out $=$ Tensor(self.value $*$ other.value, children=(self, other), _op=" $*^{\prime \prime}$ )
def _backward():
self.grad += other.value * out.grad
other.grad += self.value * out.grad
out._backward = _backward
return out

The computational graph should be directed and acyclic.

We start calling backward in order

```
def backward(self):
    netowork = []
visited = set()
def build_netowork(node):
    if node not in visited:
        visited.add(node)
        for child in node._prev:
            build_netowork(child)
        netowork. append(node)
build_netowork(self)
self.grad = 1.0
for node in reversed(netowork):
    node._backward()
```


## Auto-diff in PyTorch

## PyTorch's Implementation: Forward/Backward API

- PyTorch has implementation of forward/backward operations for various operators.
- Example: multiplication operator



## PyTorch Operators

- PyTorch's lower-level functions translate activities to graphics processor via libraries like OpenGL

```
nchw to imagegls
[] nchw_to_image.gls
[] nchw_to_image2d.glsl
\chw_to_mage_int32.gls!
[] nchw_to_image_int8.glsl
[] nchw_to_mage_uint8.gls
permute_dd.gls
|uantize_per_tensor_qint32.gls
quantiz_per_tensor_qint8.glsl
- quantize_per_tensor_quint8.gls
[ quantized_add.gls
[ quantized_conv2d.gls
[\uantized_conv2d_dw.glsl
\ quantized_conv2d_pw_2x2.glsl
[] quantized_div.gls!
[] quantized_mul.g|s
quantized_su.g.gl
quantized_upsample_nearest2d.gls
[reflection_padzd.gls
 replication_pad2d.gls|
[\mp@code{select_depth.gisl}
[] sigmoid.gls|
[] sigmoid_gls|
[] slice_4d.gls|
[ softmax.gls!
[] stack_featur.g|s|
[] sub.gls!
[\mp@code{sub_gls|}
[0 tanh.gls|
[] tanh_glsl
[] threshold.gls|
[T nchw_toimage gls
[0 nchw_to_image2d.glsi
[ nchw_to_image_int32.gls
[] nchw_to_image_int8.g|s|
-_4d.gls!
quantize_per_tensor_qint32.gls
quantize_per_tensor_qint8.g|s|
- quantize_per_tensor_quint8.g|s|
[ quantized_add.g|s!
a
Tuantized_conv2d_pw_2x2.g|s|
uantized_div.g
al quantized_mul.q|sl
quantized_upsample_nearest2d.gls
- reflection_pad2d.gls|
[] replication_pad2d.gls|
(1) select_depth.gis|
[ sigmoid.gls!
[] sigmoid_.gls|
[] slice_4d.gls|
[] softmax.glsi
[] stack_featur.g|s|
[] sub.gis
[1) sub_gis!
- tam.gos
[] threshold.gls|
```

[0 upsample_nearest2d.gls
[] upsample_nearest2d.g|s:
[Vulikan] Add image format quallifier to glsl files (\#69330)
[Vulkan] Enable copying Qint8 and Qint32 tensors from cpu to vulkan. (\#
[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glst files (\#69330)
[Vulkan] Enable Qint8 and Qlint32 quantization (\#89788) ..... ast month
[Vulkan] Enable Qint8 and Qint32 quantization (\#89788) ..... last month
[Vulkan] Enable Qlint8 and Qlint32 quantization (\#89788) ..... ast month
[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (\#89456) ..... last month
[Vulkan]|TCC] Fix quantized shaders (\#89456) ..... last month
[Vulkan] [TCC] Fix quantized shaders (\#89456) ..... last month
[VUlkan||TCC| Fix quantized shaders | | 489456 ..... st month
[Vulkan][TCC] fix quantized shaders (\#\#89456) ..... ast month
[Vulkan]|TCC] Fix quantized shaders (\#89456) ..... last month
[Vulkan][TCC] Fix quantized shaders (\#89456) ..... last month
[VUlkan]|TCC] Fix quantized shaders (H89459) ..... last month
Ivuikan] Add image format qualifier to glst files (\#69330) ..... last year
[vulkan] replication_pad2d.gls: use clamp() instead of min(max0) (\#) ..... months ago
[Vulkan] Implement select.int operator (\#81777) ..... months ago
[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (\#69330) ..... last year
Ivulkan] Add image format qualifier to glst files (\#69330) ..... ast year
[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to glsl files (\#69330) ..... last year
[vulkan] Add image format qualifier to gls1 files (\#69330) ..... last year
[Vulkan] Implement Stack operator (\#81064) ..... months ago
[Vulkan] Implement arithmetic ops where one of the arguments is a ten. ..... 5 months ago
[Vulkan] Implement arithmetic ops where one of the arguments is a ten.. ..... months ago
[Ivulkan] Clamp tanh activation op input to preserve numerical stabili... ..... 10 months ago
[vulkan] Clamp tanh activation op input to preserve numerical stabili. ..... months ago
[vulkan] fix some broken tests in vulkan_api_test (\#80962) ..... 6 months ago
Ivulkan) Add image format qualifier to glst files (\#69330) ..... last year

## Example Activation Functions

## $\mathfrak{\xi}$ master v pytorch / aten / src / ATen / native / vulkan / glsl/ tanh.glsl

Q) SS-JIA [vulkan] Clamp tanh activation op input to preserve numerical stabili... ...

2: 2 contributors (2) ID

```
27 lines (21 sloc) 777 Bytes
#version 450 core
#define PRECISION $precision
#define FORMAT $format
layout(std430) buffer;
/* Qualifiers: layout - storage - precision - memory */
layout(set = 0, binding = 0, FORMAT) uniform PRECISION restrict writeonly image3D u0utput;
layout(set = 0, binding = 1) uniform PRECISION sampler3D uInput;
layout(set = 0, binding = 2) uniform PRECISION restrict Block {
ivec4 size;
} uBlock;
layout(local_size_x_id = 0, local_size_y_id = 1, local_size_z_id = 2) in;
void main() {
const ivec3 pos = ivec3(gl_GlobalInvocationID);
if (all(lessThan(pos, uBlock.size.xyz))) {
        const vec4 intex = texelFetch(uInput, pos, 0);
    imageStore(
        u0utput,
            pos,
            tanh(clamp(intex, -15.0, 15.0)));
    }
```


## Check out PyTorch Documentations

- This is the main library the vast majority of the community uses.
- It contains hundreds of mathematical operations with "backward()" function to allow automatic gradient computation on computation graph.
- See: https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/index.html



## Backprop in PyTorch

$f(x, y, z)=(x+y) z$
Want: $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}$


```
x = torch.tensor(-2.0, requires_grad=True)
y = torch.tensor(5.0, requires_grad=True)
z = torch.tensor(-4.0, requires_grad=True)
f = (x+y)*z # Define the computation graph
f.backward() # PyTorch's internal backward gradient computation
print('Gradients after backpropagation:', x.grad, y.grad, z.grad)
```


## Why Learn All These Details About Backprop?

- Modern deep learning frameworks compute gradients for you!
- But why take a class on compilers or systems when they are implemented for you?
- Understanding what is going on under the hood is useful!
- Backpropagation doesn't always work perfectly out of the box
- Understanding why is crucial for debugging and improving models


## Summary

- Modern deep learning libraries such as PyTorch implement a vast library of operations to allow automatic and efficient Backprop.
- We will make extensive use of PyTorch in this class (yay!)
- Next: We will discuss a few practical considerations regarding training NNs.


## Practical considerations for training neural nets

## Batching

- GPUs are fast with Tensor operations
- Rather than visiting instances in sequentially , batch them together for faster training and inference.


Labels



## Batches of Data: Example

- The case of natural language:
- Each word is mapped to a vector $\mathbb{R}^{d}$

- Then, each sentence of length is mapped to a matrix $\mathbb{R}^{\ell \times d}$

- A batch of sentences (size b) is mapped to a tensor $\mathbb{R}^{\ell \times d \times b}$



## Batches of Data, In Practice

- PyTorch makes it easy to batch data.
- All its functionalities are designed around batched process.
- For example, you can create any tensor of any dimension.


## TORCH.RAND

```
torch.rand(*size, *, generator=None, out=None, dtype=None, layout=torch.strided, device=None,
requires_grad=False, pin_memory=False) }->\mathrm{ Tensor

Returns a tensor filled with random numbers from a uniform distribution on the interval \([0,1)\)
The shape of the tensor is defined by the variable argument size.

\section*{Parameters}
size (int...) - a sequence of integers defining the shape of the output tensor. Can be a variable number of arguments or a collection like a list or tuple.

\section*{Batches of Data, In Practice}
- Avoid loops, use tensors.
```

import torch
def matmul(A, B):
C = torch.zeros_like(A)
for i in range(A.size(O)):
for j in range(B.size(1)):
for k in range(A.size(1)):
C[i, j] += A[i, k] * B[k, j]
return C

# Example usage:

A = torch.randn(10, 10)
B = torch.randn(10, 10)
C = matmul (A, B)

```
```

import torch

# Example usage:

A = torch.randn (10, 10)
B = torch.randn (10, 10)
C = torch.matmul(A, B)

```

\section*{Normalize Your Data!}
- We do not like very large numbers.
- Large numbers lead to numerical problems (e.g., overflow) and lead to NaNs 하
- We prefer if our data is distributed around zero.

\section*{Normalize Your Data!}
- We do not like very large numbers.
- Large numbers lead to numerical problems (e.g., overflow) and lead to NaNs 하
- We prefer if our data is distributed around zero.

Raw Features


Normalized Features

\section*{}

Feature 1


\section*{Non-Zero-Centered Data}
\[
f=\boldsymbol{w}^{\top} \boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{b} \quad \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_{i}}=\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{i}}=\text { upstream } \times x_{i}
\]
- If data is always positive (i.e., \(\forall i: x_{i}>0\) ), all the dimensions of \(\nabla_{w} \mathcal{L}\) would have the same sign (all positive or all negative, same sign as upstream).


\section*{Normalization: Layer, Batch, ...}
- Normalization of values standardizes the ranges of values \(\quad y=\frac{x-\mathrm{E}[x]}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Var}[x]+\epsilon}} * \gamma+\beta\)
- Prevents value disparities
- Stabilizes and speeds up training

See PyTorch documentations: https://pytorch.org/docs/stable/nn.htm|\#normalization-layers


\section*{Activation Functions}
- How do you choose what activation function to use?
- In general, it is problem-specific and might require trial-and-error.
- Here are some tips about popular action functions.

\section*{Activation Functions : Sigmoid}
- Squashes numbers to range [0,1]
- Historically popular, interpretation as "firing rate" of a neuron

" Key limitation: Saturated neurons "kill" the gradients
- Whenever \(|x|>5\), the gradients are basically zero.

\[
\sigma(x)=1 /\left(1+e^{-x}\right)
\]

If all the gradients flowing back will be zero and weights will never change.


\section*{Activation Functions : Tanh}

- Symmetric around [-1, 1]
- Still saturates \(|x|>3\) and "kill" the gradients
- Zero-centered - faster optimization (why?)


\section*{\(\tanh (\mathbf{x})\)}
[LeCun et al., 1991]

\section*{Activation Functions : ReLU}
- Computationally efficient
- In practice, converges faster than
 sigmoid/tanh in practice
- Does not saturate (in +region) - will die less!


> ReLU
> (Rectified Linear Unit)
> [Krizhevsky et al., 2012]

\section*{Activation Functions : Leaky ReLU}
- Does not saturate - will not die.
- Computationally efficient
- In practice it converges faster than sigmoid/tanh in practice

- Other parametrized variants:
\[
f(x)=\max (0.01 x, x)
\]
- Parametric Rectifier (PReLU): \(\quad f(x)=\max (\alpha x, x)\) [He et al., 2015]
- Maxout: \(\max \left(w_{1}^{T} x+b_{1}, w_{2}^{T} x+b_{2}\right) \quad\) [Goodfellow et al., 2013]
- Provide more flexibility, though at the cost of more learnable parameters.
- For example, Maxout doubles the number of parameters.

\section*{Choose Activations: In Practice}
- In general, it is problem-specific and might require trial-and-error.
- A useful recipe:
1. Generally, ReLU is a good activation to start with.
2. Time/compute permitting, you can try other activations to squeeze out more performance.

\section*{Exploding/Vanishing Gradients}
- If many numbers \(|x|>1\) get multip
- NaN gradients --> no learning!
- If many numbers \(|x|<1\) get multip
- Zero gradients -> no learning!

Gradient flow


\section*{Exploding/Vanishing Gradients}
- Remember gradient computation at layer \(L-k\) :
\[
\nabla_{\mathcal{L}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-k}\right)=\underbrace{\left(\mathbf{J}_{\ell}(\mathrm{y}) \mathbf{J}_{y}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}}}\left(\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}\right) \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{L}-1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{L}-2}\right) \ldots \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{h}_{L-k+1}}\left(\mathbf{W}_{L-k}\right)\right.}_{\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{k}) \text {-many matrix multiplication }})^{\mathrm{T}}
\]
- This matrix multiplication could quickly approach
\(\circ \infty\), if the matrix elements are a large - exploding gradients.
- 0 , if the matrix elements are small - vanishing gradients.
- \(\infty / 0\) gradients would kill learning (no flow of information).
- For those interested, convergences of matrix powers is determined by its largest eigenvalue (HW, extra credit).


\section*{Residual Connections/Blocks}
- Create direct "information highways" between layers.

- Shown to diminish vanishing/exploding gradients
- Early in the training, there are fewer layers to propagate through.
- The network would restore the skipped layers, as it learns richer features.
- It is also shown to make the optimization objective smoother.
[Fun fact: the paper (He et al. 2015) introducing residual layers is the most cited paper of century!!]

(a) without skip connections

(b) with skip connections

\section*{Weight Initialization}
- Initializing all weights with a fixed constant (e.g., 0's) is a very bad idea! (why?)

- If the neurons start with the same weights, then all the neurons will follow the same gradient, and will always end up doing the same thing as one another.
- Effective initialization is one that breaks such "symmetries" in the weight space.

\section*{Weight Initialization}
- Better idea: initialize weights with random Gaussian noise.
\[
\begin{aligned}
& x=\text { torch.tensor.empty }(3,5) \\
& \text { nn.init.normal_(w) }
\end{aligned}
\]
- There are fancier initializations (Xavier, Kaiming, etc.) that we won't get into.

\section*{Over-training Prevention}
- Running too many epochs and/or a NN with many hidden layers may lead to an overfit network
- Keep a held-out validation set and evaluate accuracy after every epoch
- Early stopping: maintain weights for best performing network on the validation set and return it when performance decreases significantly beyond that.




Good Fit/Robust
Overfitted

\section*{Dropout Training}
- In each forward pass, randomly set some neurons to zero
- Probability of dropping is a hyperparameter; 0.5 is common
- Dropout is implicitly an ensemble (average) o
- Each binary mask is one model
- For example, a layer with 4096 units has \(2^{4096} \sim 10^{1233}\) possible masks!

(a) Standard Neural Net

(b) After applying dropout.


\section*{Dropout During Test Time}
- The issue for the test time:

(a) Standard Neural Net

(b) After applying dropout.
- Dropout adds randomization. :
- Each dropout mask would lead to a slightly different outcome.
- In ideal world, we would like to "average out" the outcome across all the possible random masks:
- Not feasible.
- Remember the example: a layer with 4096 units has \(2^{4096} \sim 10^{1233}\) possible masks!
- Only \(\sim 10^{82}\) atoms in the universe ...

\section*{Dropout During Test Time (2)}
- The alternative is to not apply dropout.
- Without dropout, the input values to each neuron would be higher than what was seen during the training (mismatch between train/test).
- Example: imagine we apply dropout ( \(\mathrm{p}=0.5\) ) to the following model:
- Training time: \(E[a]=\frac{1}{4}\left(w_{1} x_{1}+w_{2} x_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}(0+0)\)
\[
+\frac{1}{4}\left(0+w_{2} x_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4}\left(w_{1} x_{1}+0\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(w_{1} x_{1}+w_{2} x_{2}\right)
\]
- Test time: \(E[a]=w_{1} x_{1}+w_{2} x_{2}\)

- Solution: scale the values proportional to dropout probability.
- Can be applied in either testing (scaling down) or training (scaling up).
- A very common interview question! :)

\section*{Dropout in Practice}

\section*{Just call the PyTorch function!}

\section*{It automatically}
- activates the dropout for training.
- deactivatives it during evaluations and scales the values according to its parameter.
```

dropout = nn.Dropout(p=0.2)
x = torch.randn(20, 16)
y = dropout(x)

```
```


# training step

model.train()

```
\# evaluate model:
model.eval()

\section*{The Only Time You Want to Overfit: The First Tryout}
- A model with buggy implementation (e.g., incorrect gradient calculations or updates) cannot learn anything.
- Therefore, a good and easy sanity check is to see if you can overfit few examples.
- This is really the first test you should do, before any hyperparameter tuning.
- Try to train to \(100 \%\) training accuracy/performance on a small sample ( \(<30\) ) of training data and monitor the training loss trends.
- Does it down? If not, something must be wrong.
- Try checking the learning rate or modifying the initialization.
- If those don't help, check the gradients.
- If they're NaN or Inf, might indicate exploding gradients.
- If they're zeros, might indicate vanishing gradients.

\section*{Additional Comments on Training}
- No guarantee of convergence; neural networks form non-convex functions with multiple local minima
- In practice, many large networks can be trained on large data.
- Many steps (tens of thousands) may be needed for adequate training.
- May be tricky to set learning rate or number of hidden units/layers.
- To avoid local minima: several trials with different random initial weights with majority or voting techniques

\section*{Intuition about Neural Net Representations}


\section*{Intuition about Neural Net Representations}


\section*{Summary}
- Feed-forward network architecture
- But many of the concepts here hold for any architecture.
- We learned Backprop, a general-purpose algorithm for efficient training of NNs.
- Recursively (and hence efficiently) apply the chain-rule along computation graph.
- The most important algorithm in neural networks!
- Lots of empirical tricks for training neural networks:
- Things to be careful about: over-fitting, activations, exploding/vanishing gradients, ...```


[^0]:    whiting school
    of ENGINERERNG

