

#### **Transformer Architecture**

CSCI 601-471/671 (NLP: Self-Supervised Models)

https://self-supervised.cs.jhu.edu/sp2025/

# **RNNs, Back to the Cons**

- While RNNs in theory can represent long sequences, they quickly forget portions of the input.
- Vanishing/exploding gradients
- Difficult to parallelize
- The alternative solution we will see: Transformers!





# Language Models: History Recap

- Probabilistic n-gram models of text generation [Jelinek+ 1980's, ...]
   Applications: Speech Recognition, Machine Translation
- Statistical or shallow neural LMs (late 90's mid 00's) [Bengio+ 2001, ...]
- Recurrent neural nets (2010s)
- Pre-training deep neural language models (2017's onward):
   Many models based on: Self-Attention



# **Chapter Plan**

- 1. Self-Attention module
- 2. Transformer architecture
- 3. Computation/space cost
- 4. Thinking about Transformer implementation

**Chapter goal** — getting very comfortable with nuances involved in Transformers.



# Self-Attention Module



#### **Self-Attention**

- b<sup>i</sup> is obtained based on the whole input sequence.
- can be parallelly computed.



#### Idea: replace any thing done by RNN with self-attention.

"Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate" Bahdanau etl. 2014; "Attention is All You Need" Vaswani et al. 2017

#### **RNN vs Transformer**









Core idea: build a mechanism to focus ("attend") on a particular part of the context.





# **Defining Self-Attention**

#### • Terminology:

- o Query: to match others
- o Key: to be matched
- o Value: information to be extracted



# **Defining Self-Attention**

- Terminology:
  - o Query: to match others
  - o Key: to be matched
  - Value: information to bε



An analogy ....



[Vaswani et al. 2017: https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762]

# **Defining Self-Attention**

- Terminology:
  - Query: to match others
  - o Key: to be matched
  - Value: information to b€





[Vaswani et al. 2017: https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762]

q: query (to match others)  $q_i = W^q x_i$ 

k: key (to be matched) $k_i = W^k x_i$ 

v: value (information to be extracted)

$$v_i = W^{\nu} x_i$$



*q*: query (to match others)  $q_i = W^q x_i$  *k*: key (to be matched)  $k_i = W^k x_i$ 

v: value (information to be extracted)  $v_i = W^v x_i$ 



*q*: query (to match others)  $q_i = W^q x_i$  *k*: key (to be matched)  $k_i = W^k x_i$ 

v: value (information to be extracted)  $v_i = W^v x_i$ 





 $\sigma(z)_{i} = \frac{\exp(z_{i})}{\sum_{j} \exp(z_{j})}$   $\hat{\alpha}_{1,4}$  $\hat{\alpha}_{1,1}$  $\hat{\alpha}_{1,2}$  $\hat{\alpha}_{1,3}$ How much Softmax should "The" attend to other  $\alpha_{1,2}$  $\alpha_{1,3}$  $\dot{\alpha}_{1,4}$  $\alpha_{1,1}$ positions?  $q_2$  $\dot{k_2}$  $q_3$  $v_2$  $k_3$  $q_4$  $k_4$  $q_1$  $v_1$  $v_3$  $k_1$  $v_4$ 00000 00000 00000 00000  $x_1$  $x_2$  $x_3$  $x_4$ The cat sat on 16





- What would be the output vector for the word "Thinking"?
  - (a)  $0.5\mathbf{v}_1 + 0.5\mathbf{v}_2$
  - (b)  $0.54\mathbf{v}_1 + 0.46\mathbf{v}_2$
  - (c)  $0.88\mathbf{v}_1 + 0.12\mathbf{v}_2$
  - (d)  $0.12\mathbf{v}_1 + 0.88\mathbf{v}_2$





#### **Self-Attention: Matrix Notation**

 $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d_1}$  (n = input length)

$$\begin{split} Q = X W^Q \quad & K = X W^K \quad V = X W^V \\ & W^Q \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_q}, W^K \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_k}, W^V \in \mathbb{R}^{d_1 \times d_v} \end{split}$$





#### **Self-Attention**

- Can write it in matrix form:
- Given input **x**:

$$Q = \mathbf{W}^{q} \mathbf{x}$$
$$K = \mathbf{W}^{k} \mathbf{x}$$
$$V = \mathbf{W}^{v} \mathbf{x}$$
Attention(x) = softmax  $\left(\frac{QK^{T}}{\sqrt{d}}\right) V$ 





The most important formula in deep learning after 2018

#### Self-Attention

What is self-attention? Self-attention calculates a weighted average of feature representations with the weight proportional to a similarity score between pairs of representations. Formally, an input sequence of n tokens of dimensions d,  $X \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times d}$ , is projected using three matrices  $W_Q \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d_q}$ ,  $W_K \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d_k}$ , and  $W_V \in \mathbf{R}^{d \times d_v}$  to extract feature representations Q, K, and V, referred to as query, key, and value respectively with  $d_k = d_q$ . The outputs Q, K, V are computed as

$$Q = XW_Q, \quad K = XW_K, \quad V = XW_V. \tag{1}$$

So, self-attention can be written as,

$$S = D(Q, K, V) = \operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_q}}\right)V,$$
 (2)

where softmax denotes a *row-wise* softmax normalization function. Thus, each element in S depends on all other elements in the same row.

9:08 PM · Feb 9, 2021 · Twitter Web App

553 Retweets 42 Quote Tweets 3,338 Likes

# **Self-Attention: Back to Big Picture**

- Attention is a powerful mechanism to create context-aware representations
- A way to focus on select parts of the input



Better at maintaining long-distance dependencies in the context.



[Attention Is All You Need, Vaswani et al. 2017]

# **Computational and Space Complexity**

• The attention function:

Attention(Q, K, V) = softmax 
$$\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}\right)V$$

- $\dim(QK^T) = N^2 \rightarrow O(N^2d_k)$  time complexity to calculate QK.
- Attention matrix dim  $\left(\operatorname{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^{T}}{\sqrt{d_{k}}}\right)\right) = N \times N$

• Storing the attention matrix for each head  $\rightarrow O(N^2h)$ .

If N >> d<sub>k</sub>, h, the time and space complexity is O(N<sup>2</sup>).
 Scalability, resource consumption, adoption, etc.



# **Computational and Space Complexity (2)**

Layer Type	Complexity per Layer	Sequential Operations
Self-Attention Recurrent	$egin{array}{l} O(n^2 \cdot d) \ O(n \cdot d^2) \end{array}$	$O(1) \ O(n)$

- n = sequence length, d = hidden dimension
- Quadratic complexity, but:
  - O(1) sequential operations (not linear like in RNN)
- Can be efficiently parallelized



#### **Multi-Headed Self-Attention**

- Multiple parallel attention layers.
  - Each attention layer has its own parameters.
  - $\circ~$  Concatenate the results and run them through a linear projection.

 Main idea: Allows model to jointly attend to information from different representation subspaces (like ensembling)









#### **Multi-Headed Self-Attention**

Just concatenate all the heads and apply an output projection matrix.

head<sub>i</sub> = Attention $(\mathbf{W}_{i}^{q}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}_{i}^{k}\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}_{i}^{\nu}\mathbf{x})$ MultiHead(Q, K, V) = Concat(head<sub>1</sub>, ..., head<sub>h</sub>) $\mathbf{W}^{O}$ 

- In practice, we use a reduced dimension for each head.
  - **Denote:** d = hidden dimension, m = number of heads $\mathbf{W}_i^q \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \frac{d}{m}}, \quad \mathbf{W}_i^k \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \frac{d}{m}}, \quad \mathbf{W}_i^v \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times \frac{d}{m}}, \quad \mathbf{W}^o \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$
- The total computational cost is similar to that of single-hear attention with full dimensionality.





#### **Combine with FFN**

- Add a feed-forward network on top it to add more expressivity.
  - This allows the model to apply another transformation to the contextual representations (or "post-process" them).
  - Usually, the dimensionality of the hidden feedforward layer is 2-8 times larger than the input dimension.



 $FFN(\mathbf{x}) = f(cW_1 + b_1)W_2 + b_2$ 





#### **How Do We Prevent Vanishing Gradients?**

- Residual connections let the model "skip" layers
  - These connections are particularly useful for training deep networks

 Use layer normalization to stabilize the network and allow for proper gradient flow





# **Putting it Together: Self-Attention Block**

out

Given input **x**:

out = 
$$LN(\tilde{c} + c')$$
  
 $\tilde{c} = FFN(c') = f(c'W_1 + b_1)W_2 + b_2$ 

$$c' = LN(c + x)$$
  
c = MultiHeadedAttention(x; W<sup>q</sup>, W<sup>k</sup>, W<sup>v</sup>)





[Attention Is All You Need, Vaswani et al. 2017]

## **Summary: Self-Attention Block**

- **Self-Attention:** A critical building block of modern language models.
  - The idea is to compose meanings of words weighted according some similarity notion.

 Next: We will combine self-attention blocks to build various architectures known as Transformer.





# Transformer





# **From Representations to Prediction**

- To perform prediction, add a classification head on top of the final layer of the transformer.
- This can be per token (Language modeling)
- Or can be for the entire sequence (only one token)

out  $\in \mathbb{R}^{S \times d}$  (S: Sequence length)

logits = Linear<sub>(d, V)</sub>(*out*) = 
$$f(out \cdot W_V) \in \mathbb{R}^{S \times V}$$
  
probabilies = softmax(logits)  $\in \mathbb{R}^{S \times V}$ 





The

#### One last wrinkle though ...







## **Absolute Positional Embeddings**

- Why "add"? Why not, say, "concatenate and then project"?
  - "concatenate and then project" would be a more general approach with more trainable parameters.
  - In practice, "sum" works fine that
  - The intuition here is that "summing" forms point clouds of word embedding information around position embeddings unique to each position.


# **Absolute Positional Embeddings**

- The idea is to create vectors that uniquely encoder each position.
- For example, consider vectors of binary values.
  - Example below shows 4-dimensional position encodings for 16 positions.

The issue with binary encoding is that the positional information is localized around a few bits.

0:	<mark>0</mark> 0	0 (	0	8:	<b>1</b> 0 <b>0 0</b>
1:	0 0	0	1	9 :	<b>1</b> 0 <b>0 1</b>
2:	0 0	) 1	0	10:	<b>1</b> 0 <b>1</b> 0
3:	0 0	) 1	1	11:	<b>1</b> 0 <b>1 1</b>
4:	0 1	. 0	0	12:	<b>1 1 0 0</b>
5:	0 1	. 0	1	13:	<b>1 1 0 1</b>
6:	0 1	. 1	0	14:	<b>1 1 1 0</b>
7:	0 1	. 1	1	15:	1111

https://kazemnejad.com/blog/transformer\_architecture\_positional\_encoding/

### **Math Recap: Sine and Cosine Functions**





### **Absolute Positional Embeddings**

• Let *t* be a desired position. Then the *i*-th element of the positional vector is:

$$\overrightarrow{p_t}^{(i)} = f(t)^{(i)} := egin{cases} \sin(\omega_k,t), & ext{if } i = 2k \ \cos(\omega_k,t), & ext{if } i = 2k+1 \end{cases} \qquad \omega_k = rac{1}{10000^{2k/d}}$$

- Here *d* is the maximum dimension.
- This provides unique vectors for each position.





• Let *t* be a desired position:

$$\overrightarrow{p_t}^{(i)} = f(t)^{(i)} := egin{cases} \sin(\omega_k,t), & ext{if } i = 2k \ \cos(\omega_k,t), & ext{if } i = 2k+1 \end{cases} \qquad \omega_k = rac{1}{10000^{2k/d}}$$

- **Q:** Are the frequencies increasing with dimension i ?
- **Answer:** The frequencies are decreasing along the vector dimension.



### **Visualizing Absolute Positional Embeddings**

Here positions range from 0-50, for an embedding dimension of 130.





## **Transformer-based Language Modeling**





- **Goal:** Train a Transformer for language modeling (i.e., predicting the next word).
- **Approach:** Train it so that each position is predictor of the next (right) token.
- We just shift the input to right by one, and use as labels

(gold output) Y = cat sat on the mat </s>X = text[:, :-1]Y = text[:, 1:] TRANSFORMER [Slide credit: Arman Cohan] sat

EOS special token

• For each position, compute their corresponding **distribution** over the whole vocab.

(gold output) Y = cat sat on the mat </s>





• For each position, compute the **loss** between the distribution and the gold output label.





• Sum the position-wise loss values to a obtain a **global loss**.





Using this loss, do Backprop and update the Transformer parameters.



- The model would solve the task by copying the next token to output (data leakage).
  - o Does not learn anything useful



We need to prevent information leakage from future tokens! How?





	Attention raw scores												
0	-0.08	1.24	0.69	-0.98	1.43	-0.6	0.7	0.16	0.93	1.28	-1.61	-1.1	
-	-0.09	-0.0	-0.7	0.06	0.25	0.23	0.26	0.18	0.78	-0.21	-1.01	1.01	
2	0.86	1.19	1.59	0.86	-0.13	-0.15	-2.13	-0.98	-0.87	-1.72	1.87	-0.72	
ю	0.12	-0.03	-0.02	0.88	-0.46	-0.7	0.54	-0.42	-1.89	-0.38	0.04	-0.84	
4	0.51	0.17	0.13	-1.64	0.24	-0.02	1.68	-0.36	0.64	0.36	0.27	0.66	
5	0.24	-1.44	0.43	0.74	0.96	-1.21	-0.31	1.54	1.66	1.14	0.58	-1.44	
9	0.26	-0.1	0.93	0.72	-0.38	1.65	0.47	-0.96	-0.17	-0.9	-1.57	0.22	
7	-0.55	0.81	0.71	1.7	-0.8	-1.14	-0.32	1.78	-0.7	-0.04	1.54	0.81	
80	0.74	-0.76	-0.44	-0.08	-1.38	-0.13	1.25	-1.37	1.84	0.3	0.57	0.74	
6	-0.97	-0.91	0.15	0.35	-0.81	0.11	1.14	-1.52	1.06	1.87	0.5	-0.3	
10	1.56	0.9	0.39	1.46	1.44	-1.05	0.9	-0.73	0.36	-0.67	-0.62	-0.43	
5	0.32	0.74	0.44	-0.1	1.19	0.83	0.29	2.06	0.51	-0.26	1.51	0.11	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	





What we want

What we have



				<i></i>	ALLEIN		aw s	COLE	5			
0	-0.08	1.24	0.69	-0.98	1.43	-0.6	0.7	0.16	0.93	1.28	-1.61	-1.1
-	-0.09	-0.0	-0.7	0.06	0.25	0.23	0.26	0.18	0.78	-0.21	-1.01	1.01
2	0.86	1.19	1.59	0.86	-0.13	-0.15	-2.13	-0.98	-0.87	-1.72	1.87	-0.72
з	0.12	-0.03	-0.02	0.88	-0.46	-0.7	0.54	-0.42	-1.89	-0.38	0.04	-0.84
4	0.51	0.17	0.13	-1.64	0.24	-0.02	1.68	-0.36	0.64	0.36	0.27	0.66
5	0.24	-1.44	0.43	0.74	0.96	-1.21	-0.31	1.54	1.66	1.14	0.58	-1.44
9	0.26	-0.1	0.93	0.72	-0.38	1.65	0.47	-0.96	-0.17	-0.9	-1.57	0.22
7	-0.55	0.81	0.71	1.7	-0.8	-1.14	-0.32	1.78	-0.7	-0.04	1.54	0.81
00	0.74	-0.76	-0.44	-0.08	-1.38	-0.13	1.25	-1.37	1.84	0.3	0.57	0.74
6	-0.97	-0.91	0.15	0.35	-0.81	0.11	1.14	-1.52	1.06	1.87	0.5	-0.3
10	1.56	0.9	0.39	1.46	1.44	-1.05	0.9	-0.73	0.36	-0.67	-0.62	-0.43
1	0.32	0.74	0.44	-0.1	1.19	0.83	0.29	2.06	0.51	-0.26	1.51	0.11
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

#### Attention raw scores







Slide credit: Arman Cohan

				F	Alleni	lion i	aw s	core	S			
0	-0.08	1.24	0.69	-0.98	1.43	-0.6	0.7	0.16	0.93	1.28	-1.61	-1.1
٣	-0.09	-0.0	-0.7	0.06	0.25	0.23	0.26	0.18	0.78	-0.21	-1.01	1.01
2	0.86	1.19	1.59	0.86	-0.13	-0.15	-2.13	-0.98	-0.87	-1.72	1.87	-0.72
ю	0.12	-0.03	-0.02	0.88	-0.46	-0.7	0.54	-0.42	-1.89	-0.38	0.04	-0.84
4	0.51	0.17	0.13	-1.64	0.24	-0.02	1.68	-0.36	0.64	0.36	0.27	0.66
5	0.24	-1.44	0.43	0.74	0.96	-1.21	-0.31	1.54	1.66	1.14	0.58	-1.44
9	0.26	-0.1	0.93	0.72	-0.38	1.65	0.47	-0.96	-0.17	-0.9	-1.57	0.22
7	-0.55	0.81	0.71	1.7	-0.8	-1.14	-0.32	1.78	-0.7	-0.04	1.54	0.81
8	0.74	-0.76	-0.44	-0.08	-1.38	-0.13	1.25	-1.37	1.84	0.3	0.57	0.74
6	-0.97	-0.91	0.15	0.35	-0.81	0.11	1.14	-1.52	1.06	1.87	0.5	-0.3
10	1.56	0.9	0.39	1.46	1.44	-1.05	0.9	-0.73	0.36	-0.67	-0.62	-0.43
1	0.32	0.74	0.44	-0.1	1.19	0.83	0.29	2.06	0.51	-0.26	1.51	0.11
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		

#### Attention row scores



t: Arman Cohan



					7 \	CITU		lask					
0	1.0	-inf											
-	1.0	1.0	-inf										
2	1.0	1.0	1.0	-inf									
ю	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-inf	- 0.25							
4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-inf	0.20						
2	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	- 0.20
9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	- 0.15
7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	- 0.10
80	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-inf	-inf	-inf	- 0.05
6	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-inf	-inf	- 0.00
10	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	-inf	
11	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	0	10	11	

### Note matrix multiplication is quite fast in GPUs.

-int



Masked attention raw scores

0	-0.08	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf						
-	-0.09	-0.0	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf
2	0.86	1.19	1.59	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf
ю	0.12	-0.03	-0.02	0.88	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf
4	0.51	0.17	0.13	-1.64	0.24	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf
5	0.24	-1.44	0.43	0.74	0.96	-1.21	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf
9	0.26	-0.1	0.93	0.72	-0.38	1.65	0.47	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf
7	-0.55	0.81	0.71	1.7	-0.8	-1.14	-0.32	1.78	-inf	-inf	-inf	-inf
œ	0.74	-0.76	-0.44	-0.08	-1.38	-0.13	1.25	-1.37	1.84	-inf	-inf	-inf
6	-0.97	-0.91	0.15	0.35	-0.81	0.11	1.14	-1.52	1.06	1.87	-inf	-inf
10	1.56	0.9	0.39	1.46	1.44	-1.05	0.9	-0.73	0.36	-0.67	-0.62	-inf
1	0.32	0.74	0.44	-0.1	1.19	0.83	0.29	2.06	0.51	-0.26	1.51	0.11
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12





Slide credit: Arman Cohan

### The effect is more than just pruning out some of the wirings in self-attention block.







	Masked attention raw scores												
		_	_	VIASN	leu a	ueni	IOII 14	aw si	Lores	>			
0	-0.08	-irf	-inf	-ief	-irf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	
÷	-0.09	-0.0	-inf	-ief	-irf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	
N	0.86	1.19	1.59	-itf	-irf	-inf	⊰ef	-irf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	
n	0.12	-0.63	-0.02	0.88	-irf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	
*	0.51	0.17	0.13		0.24	-inf	⊰rf	٠rf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-ief	
10	0.24		0.43	0.74	0.96		-itf	-irf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	
6	0.26	-0.1	0.93	0.72	-0.38	1.65	0.47	-inf	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	
•	-0.55	0.81	0.71	1.7	-0.8		-0.32	1.78	-inf	-irf	-inf	-inf	
	0.74	-0.76	-0.44	-0.08		-0.13	1.25		1.84	-irf	-inf	-inf	
a		-0.91	0.15	0.35	-0.81	0.11	1.14		1.06	1.87	-inf	-ief	
\$	1.55	0.9	0.39	1.46	1.44		0.9	-0.73	0.35	-0.67	-0.02	-inf	
Ŧ	0.32	0.74	0.44	-0.1	1.19	0.83	0.29	2.05	0.51	-0.26	1.51	0.11	

softmax

	Attention	probabilities
--	-----------	---------------

	0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	-	0.48	0.52	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	2	0.22	0.31	0.47	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		- 0 30
	3	0.2	0.18	0.18	0.44	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		- 0.30
	4	0.31	0.22	0.21	0.04	0.23	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		- 0.20
	5	0.16	0.03	0.19	0.26	0.32	0.04	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	- 0.15 - 0.15 - 0.10	- 0.20
าลx	9	0.09	0.06	0.18	0.14	0.05	0.36	0.11	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.10
	7	0.03	0.13	0.11	0.31	0.03	0.02	0.04	0.33	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		- 0.10
	8	0.14	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.06	0.23	0.02	0.41	0.0	0.0	0.0		- 0.05
	6	0.02	0.02	0.07	0.08	0.03	0.06	0.18	0.01	0.16	0.37	0.0	0.0		
	10	0.21	0.11	0.06	0.19	0.18	0.02	0.11	0.02	0.06	0.02	0.02	0.0		
	11	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.27	0.06	0.03	0.16	0.04		
Slide credit: Arman Cohan <sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>6</sup> <sup>7</sup> <sup>8</sup> <sup>9</sup> <sup>10</sup> <sup>11</sup>															



DHNS HOPKINS

55

We need to prevent information leakage from future tokens! How?





56

Use the output of previous step as input to the next step repeatedly





Use the output of previous step as input to the next step repeatedly





Use the output of previous step as input to the next step repeatedly





Use the output of previous step as input to the next step repeatedly





Use the output of previous step as input to the next step repeatedly







- This is a very generic Transformer!
- We will implement this in HW5 to build a simple Transformer Language Model!!

- Next:
  - o Architectural variants





# Transformer Architectural Variants



### **Encoder-Decoder Architectures**

• It is useful to think of generative models as two sub-models.





### **Encoder-Decoder Architectures**

It is useful to think of generative models as two sub-models





### **Encoder-decoder models**

- Transformer is two blocks
- Encoder = read or encode the input,
   o Architecture is as we've seen
- Decoder = generate or decode the output

   Architecture is identical to the encoder but we give it the ability to also attend to the input









### Transformer [Vaswani et al. 2017]

OHNS HOPKINS



### Transformer [Vaswani et al. 2017]

OHNS HOPKINS

WHITING SCHOOL



# Transformer [Vaswani et al. 2017]

Computation of encoder attends to both sides.



Output Probabilities

Softmax

Linear


#### Transformer [Vaswani et al. 2017]

 At any step of decoder, it attends to previous computation of encoder as well as decoder's own generations

MaskedDecoder Self-Attention



#### [Attention Is All You Need, Vaswani et al. 2017]



#### Transformer [Vaswani et al. 2017]

- At any step of decoder, it attends to previous computation of encoder as well as decoder's own generations
- At any step of decoder, re-use previous computation of encoder.
- Computation of decoder is linear, instead of quadratic.



### **Recap: Transformer**

- Yaaay we know Transformers now! 55
- An encoder-decoder architecture
- If a forms of attention



Output Probabilities

Softmax

Linear

Add & Norm Feed

Forward

Add & Norm

- Source data (large!):
  - The references for a Wikipedia article.
  - $_{\odot}\,$  Web search using article section titles,  $\sim$  10 web pages per query.
- For a passage of length N and a summary of length M, the complexity of the attention is:
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M)$
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M) + O(NM)$
  - $\circ O(N^2) + O(M^2) + O(NM)$
  - $\circ \quad O(N^2) + O(M^2)$

No, self attention is all-to-all and so quadratic.



- Source data (large!):
  - The references for a Wikipedia article.
  - $_{\odot}\,$  Web search using article section titles,  $\sim$  10 web pages per query.
- For a passage of length N and a summary of length M, the complexity of the attention is:
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M)$
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M) + O(NM)$
  - $\circ O(N^2) + O(M^2) + O(NM)$
  - $\circ \quad O(N^2) + O(M^2)$

No, self attention is all-to-all and so quadratic in M and N.



- Source data (large!):
  - The references for a Wikipedia article.
  - $_{\odot}\,$  Web search using article section titles,  $\sim$  10 web pages per query.
- For a passage of length N and a summary of length M, the complexity of the attention is:
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M)$
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M) + O(NM)$
  - $\circ O(N^2) + O(M^2) + O(NM)$
  - $\circ \quad O(N^2) + O(M^2)$

No, self attention is all-to-all and so quadratic in M and N.



- Source data (large!):
  - The references for a Wikipedia article.
  - $_{\odot}\,$  Web search using article section titles,  $\sim$  10 web pages per query.
- For a passage of length N and a summary of length M, the complexity of the attention is:
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M)$
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M) + O(NM)$
  - $\circ O(N^2) + O(M^2) + O(NM)$
  - $\circ \quad O(N^2) + O(M^2)$

No, cross attention is missing.



- Source data (large!):
  - The references for a Wikipedia article.
  - $_{\odot}\,$  Web search using article section titles,  $\sim$  10 web pages per query.
- For a passage of length N and a summary of length M, the complexity of the attention is:
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M)$
  - $\circ \quad O(N) + O(M) + O(NM)$
  - $\circ O(N^2) + O(M^2) + O(NM) \prec$
  - $\circ \quad O(N^2) + O(M^2)$

Yes. The three terms are respectively the Encoder self-attention, Decoder self-attention, and Cross attention.



# **Quiz: Enc-Dec Connections**

Which best represents encoder-decoder connections?



<sup>[</sup>Slide credit: CS886 at UWaterloo]



# Considerations about computational cost in Transformers











previous context



[Slide credit: Arman Cohan]













#### Making decoding more efficient $Q = \mathbf{W}^q \mathbf{x}$ $K = \mathbf{W}^k \mathbf{x}$ $V = \mathbf{W}^{\nu} \mathbf{x}$ Attention(**x**) = softmax $\left(\frac{QK^{T}}{\sqrt{d}}\right)V$ q $K = W_k x$ $V = W_v x$ q: the next token previous context The cat the [Slide credit: Arman Cohan] 90

$$Q = \mathbf{W}^{q} \mathbf{x}$$
$$K = \mathbf{W}^{k} \mathbf{x}$$
$$V = \mathbf{W}^{v} \mathbf{x}$$
Attention( $\mathbf{x}$ ) = softmax $\left(\frac{QK^{T}}{\sqrt{d}}\right)V$ 



91

- We are computing the Keys and Values many times!
  - Let's reduce redundancy!

 $Q = \mathbf{W}^q \mathbf{x}$  $K = \mathbf{W}^k \mathbf{x}$  $V = \mathbf{W}^{\nu} \mathbf{x}$ Attention(**x**) = softmax $\left(\frac{QK^{T}}{\sqrt{T}}\right)V$ 







 $Q = \mathbf{W}^q \mathbf{x}$ 

• Question: How much memory does this K, V cache require?



 $Q = \mathbf{W}^{q} \mathbf{x}$  $K = \mathbf{W}^{k} \mathbf{x}$  $V = \mathbf{W}^{v} \mathbf{x}$ 

Attention(**x**) = softmax  $\left(\frac{QK^{T}}{\sqrt{d}}\right)V$ 

# Writing our own Transformer



#### **Clone Helper Function**

- Create N copies of pytorch nn.Module
- The Transformer's structure contains a lot of design repetition (like VGG)
- Remember these clones shouldn't share parameters (for the most part)

```
def clones(module, N):
    "Produce N identical layers."
    return nn.ModuleList([copy.deepcopy(module) for _ in range(N)])
```



#### **Create Embedding**

- Create vector representation of sequence vocabulary
- nn.Embedding creates a lookup table to map sequence vocabulary to unique vectors

```
class Embeddings(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, d_model, vocab):
        super(Embeddings, self).__init__()
        self.lut = nn.Embedding(vocab, d_model)
        self.d_model = d_model
    def forward(self, x):
        return self.lut(x) * math.sqrt(self.d_model)
```



### **Positional Encoding**

- Add information about an element's position in a sequence to its representation
- Element wise addition of sinusoidal encoding





```
def __init__(self, d_model, dropout, max_len=5000):
    super(PositionalEncoding, self).__init__()
    self.dropout = nn.Dropout(p=dropout)
```

```
# Compute the positional encodings once in log space.
pe = torch.zeros(max_len, d_model)
position = torch.arange(0, max_len).unsqueeze(1)
div_term = torch.exp(
    torch.arange(0, d_model, 2) * -(math.log(10000.0) / d_model)
)
pe[:, 0::2] = torch.sin(position * div_term)
pe[:, 1::2] = torch.cos(position * div_term)
pe = pe.unsqueeze(0)
self.register buffer("pe", pe)
```

```
def forward(self, x):
    x = x + self.pe[:, : x.size(1)].requires_grad_(False)
    return self.dropout(x)
```

[Slide credit: CS886 at UWaterloo]

#### **Attention block**

```
16
def attention(query, key, value, mask=None, dropout=None):
                                                                                 17-
                                                                                 18-
    "Compute 'Scaled Dot Product Attention'"
                                                                                  19
    d_k = query.size(-1)
                                                                                               Window
    scores = torch.matmul(query, key.transpose(-2, -1)) / math.sqrt(d_k)
    if mask is not None:
        scores = scores.masked_fill(mask == 0, -1e9)
                                                                       -1e9 is a large negative number,
    p attn = scores.softmax(dim=-1)
    if dropout is not None:
                                                                      which leads to softmax(-1e9) \approx 0
        p_attn = dropout(p_attn)
    return torch.matmul(p attn, value), p attn
```

•8 **Masking** •01 Masking •11

12-13-

14 · 15 ·



Subsequent Mask

0.8

0.6

0.4

0.2

0.0

#### **Multi-Head Attentior**

```
class MultiHeadedAttention(nn.Module):
```

```
def __init__(self, h, d_model, dropout=0.1):
    "Take in model size and number of heads."
    super(MultiHeadedAttention, self).__init__()
    assert d_model % h == 0
    # We assume d_v always equals d_k
    self.d_k = d_model // h
    self.h = h
    self.linears = clones(nn.Linear(d_model, d_model), 4)
    self.attn = None
    self.dropout = nn.Dropout(p=dropout)
```



```
# 1) Do all the linear projections in batch from d_model => h x d_k
query, key, value = [
    lin(x).view(nbatches, -1, self.h, self.d_k).transpose(1, 2)
    for lin, x in zip(self.linears, (query, key, value))
```

```
# 2) Apply attention on all the projected vectors in batch.
x, self.attn = attention(
    query, key, value, mask=mask, dropout=self.dropout
)
```

```
JOHNS HOPKINS
```

#### **FeedForward Layer**

```
class PositionwiseFeedForward(nn.Module):
    "Implements FFN equation."
```

```
def __init__(self, d_model, d_ff, dropout=0.1):
    super(PositionwiseFeedForward, self).__init__()
    self.w_1 = nn.Linear(d_model, d_ff)
    self.w_2 = nn.Linear(d_ff, d_model)
    self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)
```

```
def forward(self, x):
    return self.w_2(self.dropout(self.w_1(x).relu()))
```



#### **Sublayer Connections**

```
class SublayerConnection(nn.Module):
    """
    A residual connection followed by a layer norm.
    Note for code simplicity the norm is first as opposed to last.
    """
    def __init__(self, size, dropout):
        super(SublayerConnection, self).__init__()
        self.norm = LayerNorm(size)
        self.dropout = nn.Dropout(dropout)
    def forward(self, x, sublayer):
        "Apply residual connection to any sublayer with the same size."
        return x + self.dropout(sublayer(self.norm(x)))
```



#### **Encoder Layer**



#### **Decoder Layer**

- Same as encoder layers other than:
  - the additional multi-head attention block to preform cross-attention with the output representation from the encoder

```
class DecoderLayer(nn.Module):
    "Decoder is made of self-attn, src-attn, and feed forward (defined below)"
    def __init__(self, size, self_attn, src_attn, feed_forward, dropout):
        super(DecoderLayer, self).__init__()
        self.size = size
        self.self_attn = self_attn
        self.src_attn = src_attn
        self.feed_forward = feed_forward
        self.sublayer = clones(SublayerConnection(size, dropout), 3)
    def forward(self, x, memory, src_mask, tgt_mask):
        "Follow Figure 1 (right) for connections."
        m = memory
        x = self.sublayer[0](x, lambda x: self.self_attn(x, x, x, tgt_mask))
        x = self.sublayer[1](x, lambda x: self.src_attn(x, m, m, src_mask))
        return self.sublayer[2](x, self.feed_forward)
```



#### **The Prediction Head**

- A final linear mapping
- Apply softmax to convert logits to probabilities

```
class Generator(nn.Module):
    "Define standard linear + softmax generation step."
    def __init__(self, d_model, vocab):
        super(Generator, self).__init__()
        self.proj = nn.Linear(d_model, vocab)
    def forward(self, x):
        return log_softmax(self.proj(x), dim=-1)
```





#### **Build each block**

```
class Encoder(nn.Module):
    "Core encoder is a stack of N layers"
```

```
def __init__(self, layer, N):
    super(Encoder, self).__init__()
    self.layers = clones(layer, N)
    self.norm = LayerNorm(layer.size)
```

```
def forward(self, x, mask):
    "Pass the input (and mask) through each layer in turn."
    for layer in self.layers:
        x = layer(x, mask)
    return self.norm(x)
```

class Decoder(nn.Module):
 "Generic N layer decoder with masking."

def \_\_init\_\_(self, layer, N):
 super(Decoder, self).\_\_init\_\_()
 self.layers = clones(layer, N)
 self.norm = LayerNorm(layer.size)

```
def forward(self, x, memory, src_mask, tgt_mask):
    for layer in self.layers:
        x = layer(x, memory, src_mask, tgt_mask)
    return self.norm(x)
```



#### **Putting it Together**

class EncoderDecoder(nn.Module):

.....

A standard Encoder-Decoder architecture. Base for this and many other models.

def \_\_init\_\_(self, encoder, decoder, src\_embed, tgt\_embed, generator):
 super(EncoderDecoder, self).\_\_init\_\_()
 self.encoder = encoder
 self.decoder = decoder
 self.src\_embed = src\_embed
 self.tgt\_embed = tgt\_embed
 self.generator = generator

def forward(self, src, tgt, src\_mask, tgt\_mask):
 "Take in and process masked src and target sequences."
 return self.decode(self.encode(src, src\_mask), src\_mask, tgt, tgt\_mask)

def encode(self, src, src\_mask):
 return self.encoder(self.src\_embed(src), src\_mask)

def decode(self, memory, src\_mask, tgt, tgt\_mask):
 return self.decoder(self.tgt\_embed(tgt), memory, src\_mask, tgt\_mask)

[Slide credit: CS886 at UWaterloo]



#### **Initialize the model**

```
def make model(
    src_vocab, tgt_vocab, N=6, d_model=512, d_ff=2048, h=8, dropout=0.1
):
    "Helper: Construct a model from hyperparameters."
    c = copy.deepcopy
    attn = MultiHeadedAttention(h, d model)
    ff = PositionwiseFeedForward(d_model, d_ff, dropout)
    position = PositionalEncoding(d_model, dropout)
    model = EncoderDecoder(
        Encoder(EncoderLayer(d_model, c(attn), c(ff), dropout), N),
        Decoder(DecoderLayer(d_model, c(attn), c(attn), c(ff), dropout), N),
        nn.Sequential(Embeddings(d_model, src_vocab), c(position)),
        nn.Sequential(Embeddings(d_model, tgt_vocab), c(position)),
        Generator(d_model, tgt_vocab),
    # This was important from their code.
    # Initialize parameters with Glorot / fan avg.
    for p in model.parameters():
        if p.dim() > 1:
            nn.init.xavier_uniform_(p)
    return model
```

